

Fife Integration Joint Board

External Audit Annual Plan Year ended 31 March 2024

March 2024





Table of Contents

Introduction	3
Audit scope and general approach	4
Financial statements - significant audit risks	11
The wider scope of public audit	14
Audit team and timetable	17
Audit fee	19
Audit independence and objectivity	21
Appendices	22



Introduction

Purpose

This audit plan highlights the key elements of our proposed audit strategy and provides an overview of the planned scope and timing of the statutory external audit of Fife Integration Joint Board ("the IJB") for the year ended 31 March 2024 for those charged with governance.

Our audit work will cover:

- the financial statements within the 2023/24 accounts
- the wider scope of public audit
- · any other work requested by Audit Scotland.

Adding Value through the Audit

All of our clients demand of us a positive contribution to meeting their ever-changing business needs. Our aim is to add value through our external audit work by being constructive and forward looking, by identifying areas of improvement and by recommending and encouraging good practice. In this way, we aim to promote improved standards of governance, better management and decision making and more effective use of resources.

Feedback

If there are any elements of this audit plan to which you do not agree or you would like to discuss, please let us know as soon as possible.

Any comments you may have on the service we provide, the quality of our work, and our reports would be greatly appreciated at any time. Comments can be reported directly to any member of your audit team.

This plan has been prepared for the sole use of those charged with governance and management and should not be relied upon by third parties. No responsibility is assumed by Azets Audit Services to third parties.

Openness and transparency

This report will be published on Audit Scotland's website http://www.audit-scotland.gov.uk/



Audit scope and general approach

Responsibilities of the auditor and the IJB

The <u>Code of Audit Practice</u> outlines the responsibilities of external auditors appointed by the Accounts Commission and it is a condition of our appointment that we follow it.

Auditor responsibilities are derived from statute, International Standards on Auditing (UK) and the Ethical Standard for Auditors, other professional requirements and best practice, the Code of Audit Practice and guidance from Audit Scotland.

The IJB has primary responsibility for ensuring the proper financial stewardship of the public funds it receives. This includes preparing a set of annual accounts that meet proper accounting practices. The IJB is also responsible for complying with legislation and putting arrangements in place for governance and propriety that enable it to successfully deliver its objectives.

Appendix 2 provides further details of our respective responsibilities.

Risk-based audit approach

We follow a risk-based approach to the audit that reflects our overall assessment of the relevant risks that apply to the IJB. This ensures that our audit focuses on the areas of highest risk. Our audit planning is based on:

Discus	sions	with
senior	office	rs

Our understanding of the sector, its key priorities and risks

Attending & observing the Audit and Assurance Committee

Guidance from Audit Scotland

Discussions with Audit Scotland and public sector auditors

Discussions with internal audit and review of plans and reports

Review of the IJB's corporate strategies and plans

Review of the IJB's corporate risk register

Outcomes of prior year audits



Planning is a continuous process and our audit plans are updated during the course of our audit to take account of developments as they arise.

Communication with those charged with governance

Auditing standards require us to make certain communications throughout the audit to those charged with governance. These communications will be through the Audit and Assurance Committee. The annual accounts and our annual report will also be reported to the IJB.

Partnership working

We coordinate our work with Audit Scotland, internal audit, other external auditors and relevant scrutiny bodies, recognising the increasing integration of service delivery and partnership working within the public sector.

Our Audit Scotland appointments include NHS Fife and Fife Council. Where practicable and appropriate we will share knowledge between our teams to generate efficiencies in the delivery of our audits.

Audit Scotland

Although we are independent of Audit Scotland and are responsible for forming our own views and opinions, we do work closely with Audit Scotland throughout the audit. This helps identify common priorities and risks, treat issues consistently across the sector, and improve audit quality and efficiency. We share information about identified risks, good practices and barriers to improvement so that lessons to be learnt and knowledge of what works can be disseminated to all relevant bodies.

Audit Scotland undertakes national performance audits on issues affecting the public sector. We may review the IJB's arrangements for taking action on any issues reported in the national performance reports which have a local impact. We also consider the extent to which the IJB uses the national performance reports as a means to help improve performance at the local level.

During the year we may also be required to provide information to Audit Scotland to support the national performance audits.

Internal Audit

As part of our audit, we consider the scope and nature of internal audit work and look to minimise duplication of effort, to ensure the total audit resource to the IJB is used as efficiently and effectively as possible.

Shared systems and functions

The IJB relies on financial systems operating in Fife Council and NHS Fife to produce its financial reports. We will seek assurances from the Azets audit teams responsible for the external audits of Fife Council and NHS Fife in relation to the



arrangements in place at those organisations for the provision of financial information to the IJB.

Delivering the audit

Hybrid audit approach

We adopt a hybrid approach to our audit which combines on-site visits with remote working; learning from the better practices developed during the pandemic.

All of our people have the equipment, technology and systems to allow them to work remotely or on-site, including secure access to all necessary data and information.

All of our staff are fully contactable by email, phone call and video-conferencing.

Meetings can be held over Skype, Microsoft Teams or by telephone.

We employ greater use of technology to examine evidence, but only where we have assessed both the sufficiency and appropriateness of the audit evidence produced.

Secure sharing of information

We use a cloud-based file sharing service that enables users to easily and securely exchange documents and provides a single repository for audit evidence.

Regular contact

During the 'fieldwork' phases of our audit, we will arrange regular catch-ups with key personnel to discuss the progress of the audit. The frequency of these meetings will be discussed and agreed with management.

Signing annual accounts

Audit Scotland recommends the electronic signing of annual accounts and uses a system called DocuSign.

Electronic signatures simplify the process of signing the accounts and are acceptable for laying in Parliament. Accounts can be signed using any device from any location. There is no longer a need for duplicate copies to be signed, thus reducing the risk of missing a signature and all signatories have immediate access to a high-quality PDF version of the accounts.

Approach to audit of the financial statements

Our objective when performing an audit is to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements as a whole are free from material misstatement and to issue an independent auditor's report that includes our auditor's opinion.

As part of our risk-based audit approach, we will:

 Perform risk assessment procedures including updating our understanding of the IJB, including its environment, the financial reporting framework and its system of internal control;



- Review the design and implementation of key internal controls;
- Identify and assess the risks of material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, at the financial statement level and the assertion level for classes of transaction, account balances and disclosures;
- Design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks, to obtain audit evidence that is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion; and
- Exercise professional judgment and maintain professional scepticism throughout the audit recognising that circumstances may exist that cause the financial statements to be materially misstated.

Materiality

"Reasonable assurance", is a high level of assurance, but is not a guarantee that an audit will always detect a material misstatement when it exists. Misstatements can arise from fraud or error and are considered material if, individually or in the aggregate, they could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of users taken on the basis of the financial statements. The risk of not detecting a material misstatement resulting from fraud is higher than for one resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control. We include an explanation in the auditor's report of the extent to which the audit was capable of detecting irregularities, including fraud, and respective responsibilities for prevention and detection of fraud.

We apply the concept of materiality in planning and performing the audit, and in evaluating the effect of misstatements within the financial statements identified during the audit.

Judgments about materiality are made in the light of surrounding circumstances and are affected by our perception of the financial information needs of users of the financial statements, and by the size or nature of a misstatement, or a combination of both. The basis for our assessment of materiality for the year is set out in Appendix 1.

Any identified errors greater than £0.650million will be recorded and discussed with you and, if not adjusted, confirmed as immaterial as part of your letter of representation to us.



Accounting systems and internal controls

We will follow a substantive testing approach to gain audit assurance rather than relying on tests of controls. As part of our work, we consider certain internal controls relevant to the preparation of the financial statements such that we are able to design appropriate audit procedures. However, this work will not cover all internal controls and is not designed for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal controls. If we identify significant deficiencies in controls, we will report these to you in writing.

Specialised skill or knowledge required to complete the audit

Our audit team will consult internally with our Technology Risk team in assessing the information technology general controls (ITGC).

Going Concern

In most public sector entities, the financial reporting framework envisages that the going concern basis for accounting will apply where the entity's services will continue to be delivered by the public sector. In such cases, a material uncertainty related to going concern is unlikely to exist.

For many public sector entities, the financial sustainability of the entity is more likely to be of significant public interest than the application of the going concern basis. Our wider scope audit work considers the financial sustainability of the IJB.

Prevention and detection of fraud or error

In order to discharge our responsibilities regarding fraud and irregularity we require any fraud or irregularity issues to be reported to us as they arise. In particular we require to be notified of all frauds which:

- Involve the misappropriation of theft of assets or cash which are facilitated by weaknesses in internal control and;
- Are over £5,000.

We also require a historic record of instances of fraud or irregularity to be maintained and a summary to be made available to us after each year end.



Anti-money laundering

We require the IJB to notify us on a timely basis of any suspected instances of money laundering so that we can inform Audit Scotland who will determine the necessary course of action.

Wider audit scope work

The special accountabilities that attach to the conduct of public business, and the use of public money, mean that public sector audits must be planned and undertaken from a wider perspective than in the private sector. This means providing assurance, not only on the financial statements, but providing audit judgements and conclusions on the appropriateness, effectiveness and impact of corporate governance and performance management arrangements and financial sustainability. Appendix 2 provides detail of the wider scope areas of public sector audit work. Our initial risk assessment and scope of work planned for 2023/24 is outlined in the 'Wider Scope' section of this plan.

National risk assessment

Where particular areas of national or sectoral risk have been identified by the Auditor General, they will request auditors to consider and report on those risks as they apply at a local level. For 2023/24 no such risks have been specified.

Best Value

Appointed auditors have a duty to be satisfied that bodies have made proper arrangements to secure best value. We undertake this duty in a way that is proportionate to the size and type of body. We give due regard to:

- How the IJB demonstrates that it is meeting its Best Value responsibilities
- How our work on the wider-scope areas meets the Best Value responsibilities.

We will report on the IJB's arrangements for meeting its Best Value responsibilities in our Annual Audit Report.

Reporting our findings

At the conclusion of the audit we will issue:

- an independent auditor's report setting out our formal audit opinions within the annual accounts, and
- an annual audit report describing our audit findings, conclusions on key audit risks, judgements on the pace and depth of improvement on our audit of wider scope areas, and any recommendations.



Definitions

We will use the following gradings to provide an overall assessment of the arrangements in place as they relate to the wider scope areas. The text provides a guide to the key criteria we use in the assessment, although not all of the criteria may exist in every case.

There is a fundamental absence or failure of arrangements
There is no evidence to support necessary improvement
Substantial unmitigated risks affect achievement of corporate objectives.

Arrangements are inadequate or ineffective
Pace and depth of improvement is slow

Significant unmitigated risks affect the achievement of corporate objectives

No major weaknesses in arrangements but scope for improvement exists

Pace and depth of improvement are adequate
Risks exist to the achievement of operational objectives

Effective and appropriate arrangements are in place Pace and depth of improvement are effective Risks to the achievement of objectives are managed



Financial statements - significant audit risks

Significant risks are risks that require special audit consideration and include identified risks of material misstatement that:

- Our risk assessment procedures have identified as being close to the upper range of the spectrum of inherent risk due to their nature and a combination of the likelihood and potential magnitude of misstatement; or
- Are required to be treated as significant risks due to requirements of ISAs (UK), for example in relation to management override of internal controls.

Significant risks at the financial statement level

The table below summarises significant risks of material misstatement identified at the financial statement level. These risks are considered to have a pervasive impact on the financial statements as a whole and potentially affect many assertions for classes of transaction, account balances and disclosures.

Management override o)f
controls	

Auditing Standards require auditors to treat management override of controls as a significant risk on all audits. This is because management is in a unique position to perpetrate fraud by manipulating accounting records and overriding controls that otherwise appear to be operating effectively.

Although the level of risk of management override of controls will vary from entity to entity, the risk is nevertheless present in all entities. Due to the unpredictable way in which such override could occur, it is a risk of material

Audit approach

Procedures performed to mitigate risks of material misstatement in this area will include:

- Agreeing balances and transactions to Fife Council and NHS Fife reports/ledger/correspondence.
- Receiving assurances from constituent body auditors in relation to financial information provided, controls in place and results of testing at constituent bodies.
- Reviewing financial monitoring reports during the year.
- Reviewing the consolidation adjustments made to arrive at figures in IJB accounts.



Management override of controls	Audit approach
misstatement due to fraud and thus a significant risk. Specific areas of potential risk include manual journals, management estimates and judgements and one-off transactions outside the ordinary course of the business.	Evaluating the rationale for any changes in accounting policies, estimates or significant unusual transactions.
Risk of material misstatement: Very High	



Significant risks at the assertion level for classes of transaction, account balances and disclosures

Fraud in revenue recognition	Audit approach
Material misstatement due to fraudulent financial reporting relating to revenue recognition is a presumed risk in ISA 240 (The Auditor's Responsibilities Relating to Fraud in an Audit of Financial	Income recognised in the IJB's accounts are contributions received from the IJB's funding partners. Given the nature of income we have rebutted the risk. We will review our
Statements).	assessment during the fieldwork stage of our audit.
The presumption is that the IJB could adopt accounting policies or recognise income in such a way as to lead to a material misstatement in the reported financial position.	

Fraud in non-pay expenditure

As most public sector bodies are net expenditure bodies, the risk of fraud is more likely to occur in expenditure. There is a risk that expenditure may be misstated resulting in a material misstatement in the financial statements.

Given the financial pressures facing the public sector as a whole, there is an inherent fraud risk associated with the recording of expenditure around the year end leading to a material misstatement in the reported financial position.

Audit approach

As the IJB commissions services from the constituent bodies, the IJB does not exercise operational control of the staff and assets to deliver the services itself. The cost of commissioning the services is the expenditure recognised by the IJB rather than the expenditure incurred in delivering the services.

Given the nature of expenditure reported in the IJB's annual accounts we have therefore rebutted this risk. We will review our assessment during the fieldwork stage of our audit.



The wider scope of public audit

Introduction

The Code of Audit Practice frames a significant part of our responsibilities in terms of four wider scope audit areas:

- Financial sustainability
- Financial management
- Vision, leadership and governance
- Use of resources to improve outcomes.

Our audit approach to the wider scope audit areas

Appointed auditors are required to consider the wider scope areas when:

- identifying significant audit risks at the planning stage of the audit
- reaching conclusions on those risks
- making recommendations for improvement
- where appropriate, setting out conclusions on the audited body's performance.

When reporting on such arrangements, the Code of Audit Practice requires us to structure our commentary under the four areas identified above. <u>Appendix 2</u> provides further detail on the definition, scope and audit considerations under each wider scope area.

Our planned audit work against these four areas is risk based and proportionate. Our initial assessment builds upon our understanding of the IJB's key priorities and risks along with discussions with management and review of board and committee minutes and key strategy documents.

We have identified one significant risk in relation to financial sustainability as set out in the table below. At this stage, we have not identified any significant risks in relation to the other wider scope areas. Audit planning is a continuous process and we will report all identified significant risks, as they relate to the four wider scope areas, in our annual audit report.



Wider scope significant risks

Financial sustainability

The IJB's latest medium term financial plan was approved in March 2023. The plan shows a cumulative budget gap of £34.704million over the period 2023/24 to 2025/26 to be mitigated by a challenging savings target of £21.437million in 2023/24, rising to £34.900million in 2025/26.

Reserves of £10million were also agreed to be utilised as part of the 2023/24 budget to cushion the savings required in year. The remaining reserves balance available for use by the IJB totals £10.770million, of which £2.149million is committed which presents a risk that this balance is too low to adequately mitigate medium to longer term financial challenges. The IJB has acknowledged that the use of reserves is not a sustainable solution, as it only provides short-term one-off funding, and that transformational change is required to ensure long term sustainability.

Work is currently ongoing to review planning assumptions to reflect the current financial environment in which the IJB operates, as part of development of the 2024/25 budget and revised medium term financial plan. The 2024/25 budget is expected to reflect key financial pressures facing the IJB including receipt of a flat cash settlement from NHS Fife and a partly funded pay award from Fife Council. The 2024/25 budget is due to be approved by the IJB in March 2024.

In an environment of heightened financial pressures, increasing demand and the growing need to redesign services, robust and timely financial planning is essential in supporting the sustainability of the IJB in the medium to longer term.

Our audit response:

During our audit we will review whether the IJB has appropriate arrangements in place to manage its financial position. Our work will include an assessment of progress made in developing financially sustainable plans which reflect the medium and longer term impact of cost pressures and that continue to support the delivery of the IJB's statutory functions and strategic objectives.



In formulating our audit plan, we identified areas of possible significant risk in relation to financial management, vision, leadership and governance and use of resources to improve outcomes. Our audit approach will include reviewing and concluding on the following considerations to substantiate whether significant risks exist:

Financial management

- Whether the IJB achieves its 2023/24 financial targets including delivery of an ambitious savings target.
- Whether the IJB can demonstrate the effectiveness of its budgetary control system in communicating accurate and timely performance.

Vision, leadership and governance

- The progress made by the IJB in implementing and delivering its 2023-2026 Strategic Plan;
- Whether the IJB can demonstrate that the governance arrangements in place are appropriate and operating effectively;
- The transparency of decision-making, financial reporting and performance data and;
- Reasonableness and consistency of the annual governance statement in relation to other information gathered during our audit.

Use of resources to improve outcomes

- How the IJB demonstrates a focus on continuous improvement in the context of continuing and significant financial and operational challenge;
- The progress made by the IJB in implementing and delivering year two of the Workforce Strategy 2022-25 and;
- The progress made by the IJB in implementing its refreshed performance framework and whether performance reporting in 2023/24 is timely, reliable, balanced, transparent and appropriate to user needs.



Audit team and timetable

Audit Team

Our audit team will be as follows:

Role	Name	Email
Engagement Lead	Chris Brown	Chris.Brown@azets.co.uk
Audit Assistant Manager	Amy Hughes	Amy.Hughes@azets.co.uk
Auditor in Charge	Charlotte Barber	Charlotte.Barber@azets.co.uk

Timetable

Please find below confirmation of our proposed timetable for the audit as previously discussed with management:

Role	Name
Audit planning meeting	12 January 2024
Audit Committee to consider audit plan	15 March 2024
Receipt of draft accounts and commencement audit fieldwork	July/August 2024
Audit Committee to consider accounts and audit report	13 September 2024
Board meeting to approve accounts for signing	27 September 2024
Deadline for submission of signed accounts to SG	30 September 2024

Our Requirements

The audit process is underpinned by effective project management to co-ordinate and apply our resources efficiently to meet your deadlines. It is essential that the audit team and the IJB's finance team work closely together to achieve the above timetable.



In order for us to be able to complete our work in line with the agreed fee and timetable, we require the following:

- Draft financial statements of a good quality by the deadlines you have agreed with us. These should be complete including all notes, the management commentary, annual governance statement and the remuneration report.
- Good quality working papers at the same time as the draft financial statements.
 These will be discussed with you in advance to ensure clarity over our expectations;
- Ensuring staff are available and on site (as agreed) during the period of the audit;
- Prompt and adequate responses to audit queries.



Audit fee

Audit Scotland sets an expected audit fee that assumes the body has sound governance arrangements in place, has been operating effectively throughout the year, prepares comprehensive and accurate unaudited accounts and meets the agreed timetable for audit.

The expected fee is reviewed by Audit Scotland each year, based on Audit Scotland's overall budget proposals. The budget proposal and fee levels (for the 2023/24 audits) have been developed in the context of a challenging economic environment, increased expectations on the audit profession and the ongoing process of recovery following the Covid-19 pandemic.

The 2023/24 expected audit fee is based on applying a 6% increase to the 2022/23 expected audit fee. This increase is applied on a sector basis and reflects the conditions of the public sector market.

As auditors we negotiate a fee with the IJB during the planning process. The fee may be varied above the expected fee level to reflect the circumstances and local risks within the body.

The expected fee set by Audit Scotland for the 2023/24 audit of the IJB is £33,360¹. We propose setting the 2023/24 audit fee at this level.

Fee element	2023/24 (£)	2022/23 (£)
Auditor remuneration	36,420	37,360
Pooled costs	1,330	0
Contribution to PABV costs	7,770	6,540
Audit support costs	0	1,300
Sectoral cap adjustment	(12,160)	(10,730)
Total fee	33,360	34,470

_

For the IJB, the expected fee level in 2022/23 was £34,470. The expected fee level notified to the IJB for 2023/24 is £33,360 which is lower than the fee agreed in the previous year. It does include the sectoral increase of 6%.



We will take account of the risk exposure of the IJB and the management assurances in place. We assume receipt of the draft working papers at the outset of our on-site final audit visit. If the draft accounts and papers are late, or agreed management assurances are unavailable, we reserve the right to charge an additional fee for additional audit work. An additional fee will be required in relation to any other significant exercises not within our planned audit activity.



Audit independence and objectivity

Auditor Independence

We are required to communicate on a timely basis all facts and matters that may have a bearing on our independence.

In particular, FRC's Ethical Standard stipulates that where an auditor undertakes non audit work, appropriate safeguards must be applied to reduce or eliminate any threats to independence.

Azets has not been appointed to provide any non-audit services during the year. We confirm that we comply with FRC's Ethical Standard. In our professional judgement, the audit process is independent and our objectivity has not been compromised in any way. In particular there are and have been no relationships between Azets and the IJB, its Board members and senior management that may reasonably be thought to bear on our objectivity and independence

We have considered our integrity, independence and objectivity in respect of audit services provided and we do not believe that there are any significant threats or matters which should be brought to your attention.



Appendices

Appendix 1: Materiality	23
Appendix 2: Responsibilities of the Auditor and the IJB	25



Appendix 1: Materiality

Whilst our audit procedures are designed to identify misstatements which are material to our audit opinion, we also report to those charged with governance and management any uncorrected misstatements of lower value errors to the extent that our audit identifies these.

Under ISA (UK) 260 we are obliged to report uncorrected omissions or misstatements other than those which are 'clearly trivial' to those charged with governance. ISA (UK) 260 defines 'clearly trivial' as matters that are clearly inconsequential, whether taken individually or in aggregate and whether judged by any quantitative or qualitative criteria.

An omission or misstatement is regarded as material if it would reasonably influence the users of the financial statements. The assessment of what is material is a matter of professional judgement and is affected by our assessment of the risk profile of the IJB and the needs of the users.

When planning, we make judgements about the size of misstatements which we consider to be material, and which provide a basis for determining the nature and extent of our audit procedures. Materiality is revised as our audit progresses, should we become aware of any information that would have caused us to determine a different amount had we known about it during our planning.

Our assessment, at the planning stage, of materiality for the year ended 31 March 2024 was calculated as follows.

		£million
Overall materiality for the financial statements		13.000
Performance materiality (75% of materiality)		9.750
Trivial threshold (5% of materiality) 0.650		0.650
Materiality	Our initial assessment is based on approxim IJB's forecasted cost of delegated services. to be the principal consideration for the user statements when assessing financial perform	We consider this s of the financial
Performance materiality	Performance materiality is the working level throughout the audit. We use performance determine the nature, timing and extent of a carried out. We perform audit procedures or groups of transactions, and balances that performance materiality. This means that w level of testing on the areas deemed to be a material misstatement.	materiality to udit procedures n all transactions, exceed our e perform a greater



	Performance materiality is set at a value less than overall materiality for the financial statements as a whole to reduce to an appropriately low level the probability that the aggregate of the uncorrected and undetected misstatements exceed overall materiality.
Trivial misstatements	Trivial misstatements are matters that are clearly inconsequential, whether taken individually or in aggregate and whether judged by any quantitative or qualitative criteria. Individual errors above this threshold are communicated to those charged with governance.

The Remuneration & Staff Report and Related Parties disclosures are material by nature.

In performing our audit, we will consider any errors which cause result in a movement between the relevant bandings on the disclosure table to be material.

For Related Party transactions, in line with the standards we will consider the significance of the transaction with regard to both the IJB and the Counter party, the smaller of which will drive materiality considerations on a transaction by transaction basis.



Appendix 2: Responsibilities of the Auditor and the IJB

The Accounts Commission, Controller of Audit and Audit Scotland

The Accounts Commission is an independent public body. Its members are appointed by Scottish Ministers and are responsible for holding local government to account. Under statute, the Accounts Commission appoints a Controller of Audit to consider the results of the audit of accounts, including the wider-scope responsibilities and Best Value auditing. The Controller of Audit makes reports to the Accounts Commission on matters arising from the accounts and on Best Value and acts independently of the Accounts Commission when reporting to it.

Audit Scotland is an independent statutory body that co-ordinates and supports the delivery of high-quality public sector audit in Scotland. Audit Scotland oversees the appointment and performance of auditors, provides technical support, delivers performance audit and Best Value work programmes and undertakes financial audits of public bodies.

Auditor responsibilities

Code of Audit Practice

The Code of Audit Practice (the <u>2021 Code</u>) describes the high-level, principles-based purpose and scope of public audit in Scotland.

The Code of Audit Practice outlines the responsibilities of external auditors appointed by the Accounts Commission and it is a condition of our appointment that we follow it.

Our responsibilities

Auditor responsibilities are derived from the Code, statute, International Standards on Auditing (UK) and the Ethical Standard for auditors, other professional requirements and best practice, and guidance from Audit Scotland.

We are responsible for the audit of the accounts and the wider-scope responsibilities explained below. We act independently in carrying out our role and in exercising professional judgement. We report to the IJB and others, including Audit Scotland, on the results of our audit work.

Weaknesses or risks, including fraud and other irregularities, identified by auditors, are only those which come to our attention during our normal audit work in accordance with the Code and may not be all that exist.



Wider scope audit work

Reflecting the fact that public money is involved, public audit is planned and undertaken from a wider perspective than in the private sector.

The wider scope audit specified by the Code broadens the audit of the accounts to include additional aspects or risks in areas of financial management; financial sustainability; vision, leadership and governance; and use of resources to improve outcomes.

Financial management



Financial management means having sound budgetary processes. Audited bodies require to understand the financial environment and whether their internal controls are operating effectively.

Auditor considerations

Auditors consider whether the body has effective arrangements to secure sound financial management. This includes the strength of the financial management culture, accountability, and arrangements to prevent and detect fraud, error and other irregularities.

Financial sustainability



Financial sustainability means being able to meet the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs.

Auditor considerations

Auditors consider the extent to which audited bodies show regard to financial sustainability. They look ahead to the medium term (two to five years) and longer term (over five years) to consider whether the body is planning effectively so it can continue to deliver services.



Vision, leadership and governance

Audited bodies must have a clear vision and strategy and set priorities for improvement within this vision and strategy. They work together with partners and communities to improve outcomes and foster a culture of innovation.



Auditor considerations

Auditors consider the clarity of plans to implement the vision, strategy and priorities adopted by the leaders of the audited body. Auditors also consider the effectiveness of governance arrangements for delivery, including openness and transparency of decision-making; robustness of scrutiny and shared working arrangements; and reporting of decisions and outcomes, and financial and performance information.

Use of resources to improve outcomes



Audited bodies need to make best use of their resources to meet stated outcomes and improvement objectives, through effective planning and working with strategic partners and communities. This includes demonstrating economy, efficiency and effectiveness through the use of financial and other resources, and reporting performance against outcomes.

Auditor considerations

Auditors consider the clarity of arrangements in place to ensure that resources are deployed to improve strategic outcomes, meet the needs of service users taking account of inequalities, and deliver continuous improvement in priority services.

Best Value

Appointed auditors have a duty to be satisfied that local government bodies have made proper arrangements to secure best value. We undertake this duty in a way that is proportionate to the size and type of body. We give due regard to:

- How the IJB demonstrates that it is meeting its Best Value responsibilities
- How our work on the wider-scope areas meets the Best Value responsibilities



Audit quality

The Auditor General and the Accounts Commission require assurance on the quality of public audit in Scotland through comprehensive audit quality arrangements that apply to all audit work and providers. These arrangements recognise the importance of audit quality to the Auditor General and the Accounts Commission and provide regular reporting on audit quality and performance.

Audit Scotland maintains and delivers an Audit Quality Framework

The most recent audit quality report can be found at <u>Quality of public audit in Scotland: Annual report 2022/23 | Audit Scotland (audit-scotland.gov.uk)</u>



IJB responsibilities

The IJB has primary responsibility for ensuring the proper financial stewardship of public funds, compliance with relevant legislation and establishing effective arrangements for governance, propriety and regularity that enables it to successfully deliver its objectives. The features of proper financial stewardship include the following:

Area	IJB responsibilities
Corporate governance	The IJB is responsible for establishing arrangements to ensure the proper conduct of its affairs including the legality of activities and transactions, and for monitoring the adequacy and effectiveness of these arrangements. Those charged with governance should be involved in monitoring these arrangements.

The IJB has responsibility for:

- preparing financial statements which give a true and fair view of the financial position and its expenditure and income, in accordance with the applicable financial reporting framework and relevant legislation;
- maintaining accounting records and working papers that have been prepared to an acceptable professional standard and support the balances and transactions in its financial statements and related disclosures;

Financial statements and related reports

- ensuring the regularity of transactions, by putting in place systems of internal control to ensure that they are in accordance with the appropriate authority; and
- preparing and publishing, along with the financial statements, an annual governance statement, management commentary (or equivalent) and a remuneration report in accordance with prescribed requirements.

Management commentaries should be fair, balanced and understandable. Management is responsible, with the oversight of those charged with governance, for communicating relevant information to users about the entity and its financial performance, including providing adequate disclosures in accordance with the applicable financial reporting framework. The relevant information should be communicated clearly and concisely.



Area

IJB responsibilities

The IJB is responsible for developing and implementing effective systems of internal control as well as financial, operational and compliance controls. These systems should support the achievement of its objectives and safeguard and secure value for money from the public funds at its disposal. The IJB is also responsible for establishing effective and appropriate internal audit and risk-management functions.

Standards of conduct for prevention and detection of fraud and error

The IJB is responsible for establishing arrangements to prevent and detect fraud, error and irregularities, bribery and corruption and also to ensure that its affairs are managed in accordance with proper standards of conduct by putting proper arrangements in place.

The IJB is responsible for putting in place proper arrangements to ensure the financial position is soundly based having regard to:

 Such financial monitoring and reporting arrangements as may be specified;

Financial position

- Compliance with statutory financial requirements and achievement of financial targets;
- Balances and reserves, including strategies about levels and their future use:
- Plans to deal with uncertainty in the medium and long term; and
- The impact of planned future policies and foreseeable developments on the financial position.

Best value

The IJB has a specific responsibility to make arrangements to secure Best Value. Best Value is defined as continuous improvement in the performance of the body's functions. In securing Best Value, the local government body is required to maintain an appropriate balance among:

- The quality of its performance of its functions
- The cost to the body of that performance



Area IJB responsibilities

• The cost to persons of any service provided by it for them on a wholly or partly rechargeable basis.

In maintaining that balance, the IJB shall have regard to:

- Efficiency
- Effectiveness
- Economy
- The need to meet the equal opportunity requirements.

The IJB should discharge its duties in a way which contributes to the achievement of sustainable development.



© Azets 2024. All rights reserved. Azets refers to Azets Audit Services Limited. Registered in England & Wales Registered No. 09652677. VAT Registration No. 219 0608 22. Registered to carry on audit work in the UK and regulated for a range of investment business activities by the Institute of Chartered Accountants in England and Wales.

We are an accounting, tax, audit, advisory and business services group that delivers a personal experience both digitally and at your door.

Accounting | Tax | Audit | Advisory | Technology