



Fife Pension Fund

External Audit Annual Plan

Year ended 31 March 2024

March 2024



Table of Contents

Introduction	3
Audit scope and general approach	4
Financial statements - significant audit risks	12
The wider scope of public audit	17
Audit management team and timetable	19
Audit Fee	21
Audit independence and objectivity	23
Appendices	24

Introduction

Purpose

This audit plan highlights the key elements of our proposed audit strategy and provides an overview of the planned scope and timing of the statutory external audit of Fife Pension Fund (“the Fund”) for the year ended 31 March 2024.

Our audit work will cover:

- the financial statements within the 2023/24 annual report and accounts;
- the wider scope of public audit;
- any other work requested by Audit Scotland.

Adding value through the audit

All of our clients demand of us a positive contribution to meeting their ever-changing business needs. Our aim is to add value to the Fund through our external audit work by being constructive and forward looking, by identifying areas of improvement and by recommending and encouraging good practice. In this way, we aim to help the Fund promote improved standards of governance, better management and decision making and more effective use of resources.

Feedback

If there are any elements of this audit plan to which you do not agree or you would like to discuss, please let us know as soon as possible.

Any comments you may have on the service we provide, the quality of our work, and our reports would be greatly appreciated at any time. Comments can be reported directly to any member of your audit team.

This plan has been prepared for the sole use of those charged with governance and management and should not be relied upon by third parties. No responsibility is assumed by Azets Audit Services to third parties.

Openness and transparency

This report will be published on Audit Scotland’s website <http://www.audit-scotland.gov.uk/>

Audit scope and general approach

Responsibilities of the auditor and the Fund

The [Code of Audit Practice](#) outlines the responsibilities of external auditors appointed by the Accounts Commission for Scotland and it is a condition of our appointment that we follow it.

Auditor responsibilities are derived from statute, International Standards on Auditing (UK) and the Ethical Standard for auditors, other professional requirements and best practice, the Code of Audit Practice and guidance from Audit Scotland.

Fife Council is the administering authority for the Fife Pension Fund. The Council delegates this responsibility to the Pensions Committee. The Pensions Committee has a primary responsibility for ensuring the proper financial stewardship of public funds. This includes preparing a set of annual report and accounts that are in accordance with proper accounting practices. The Pensions Committee is also responsible for complying with legislation and putting arrangements in place for governance and propriety that enable it to successfully deliver its objectives.

[Appendix 2](#) provides further details of our respective responsibilities.

Risk-based audit approach

We follow a risk-based approach to the audit that reflects our overall assessment of the relevant risks that apply to the Fund. This ensures that our audit focuses on the areas of highest risk. Our audit planning is based on:



Discussions with senior officers	Our understanding of the sector, its key priorities and risks	Attending & observing the Pensions Committee
Guidance from Audit Scotland	Discussions with Audit Scotland and public sector auditors	Discussions with internal audit and review of plans and reports
Review of the Fund’s corporate strategies and plans	Review of the Fund’s corporate risk registers	The outcome of prior years’ audits

Planning is a continuous process and our audit plans are updated during the course of our audit to take account of developments as they arise.

Communication with those charged with governance

Auditing standards require us to make certain communications throughout the audit to those charged with governance. These communications will be through the Pensions Committee.

Partnership working

We coordinate our work with Audit Scotland, internal audit, other external auditors and relevant scrutiny bodies, recognising the increasing integration of service delivery and partnership working within the public sector.

Our Audit Scotland appointments include Fife Council and Lothian Pension Fund. Where practicable and appropriate we will share knowledge to generate efficiencies in the delivery of our audits.

Audit Scotland

Although we are independent of Audit Scotland and are responsible for forming our own views and opinions, we do work closely with Audit Scotland throughout the audit. This helps identify common priorities and risks, treat issues consistently across the sector, and improve audit quality and efficiency. We share information about identified risks, good practices and barriers to improvement so that lessons to be learnt and knowledge of what works can be disseminated to all relevant bodies.

Audit Scotland undertakes national performance audits on issues affecting the public sector. We may review the Fund’s arrangements for taking action on any issues reported in the national performance reports which have a local impact. We also

consider the extent to which the Fund uses the national performance reports as a means to help improve performance at the local level.

During the year we may also be required to provide information to Audit Scotland to support the national performance audits.

Internal Audit

As part of our audit, we consider the scope and nature of internal audit work and look to minimise duplication of effort, to ensure the total audit resource to the Fund is used as efficiently and effectively as possible.

Assurance protocols

Audit Scotland produces protocols to provide an agreed framework for auditors to seek and provide assurances in specific areas. Assurance protocols are in place for the Local Government Pension Scheme. We may utilise these protocols to gain assurance in respect of the calculation of pension contributions from auditors of employing bodies.

Delivering the audit

Hybrid audit approach

We adopt a hybrid approach to our audit which combines on-site visits with remote working; learning from the better practices developed during the pandemic.

All of our people have the equipment, technology and systems to allow them to work remotely or on-site, including secure access to all necessary data and information.

All of our staff are fully contactable by email, phone call and video-conferencing.

Meetings can be held over Microsoft Teams or by telephone.

We employ greater use of technology to examine evidence, but only where we have assessed both the sufficiency and appropriateness of the audit evidence produced.

Secure sharing of information

We use a cloud-based file sharing service that enables users to easily and securely exchange documents and provides a single repository for audit evidence.

Regular contact

During the 'fieldwork' phases of our audit, we will arrange regular catch-ups with key personnel to discuss the progress of the audit. The frequency of these meetings will be discussed and agreed with management.

Signing annual report and accounts

Audit Scotland recommends the electronic signing of the annual report and accounts and uses a system called DocuSign.

Electronic signatures simplify the process of signing the accounts, which can be signed using any device from any location. There is no longer a need for duplicate copies to be signed, thus reducing the risk of missing a signature and all signatories have immediate access to a high-quality PDF version of the accounts.

Approach to audit of the financial statements

Our objective when performing an audit is to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements as a whole are free from material misstatement and to issue an independent auditor's report that includes our auditor's opinion.

As part of our risk-based audit approach, we will:

- perform risk assessment procedures including updating our understanding of the Fund, including its environment, the financial reporting framework and its system of internal control;
- review the design and implementation of key internal controls;
- identify and assess the risks of material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, at the financial statement level and the assertion level for classes of transaction, account balances and disclosures;
- design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks, to obtain audit evidence that is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion; and
- exercise professional judgment and maintain professional scepticism throughout the audit recognising that circumstances may exist that cause the financial statements to be materially misstated.

Materiality

“Reasonable assurance” is a high level of assurance, but is not a guarantee that an audit will always detect a material misstatement when it exists. Misstatements can arise from fraud or error and are considered material if, individually or in the aggregate, they could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of users taken on the basis of the financial statements. The risk of not detecting a material misstatement resulting from fraud is higher than for one resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control. We include an explanation in the auditor's report of the extent to which the audit was capable of detecting irregularities, including fraud, and respective responsibilities for prevention and detection of fraud.

We apply the concept of materiality in planning and performing the audit, and in evaluating the effect of misstatements within the financial statements identified during the audit.

Judgments about materiality are made in the light of surrounding circumstances and are affected by our perception of the financial information needs of users of the

financial statements, and by the size or nature of a misstatement, or a combination of both. The basis for our assessment of materiality for the year is set out in [Appendix 1](#). Materiality is subject to change throughout the audit process.

Any identified errors greater than £2.565million in relation to investments and £0.298million in relation to dealings with members will be recorded and discussed with those charged with governance and, if not adjusted, confirmed as immaterial as part of the letter of representation to us.

Accounting systems and internal controls

We will follow a substantive testing approach to gain audit assurance rather than relying on tests of controls. As part of our work, we consider certain internal controls relevant to the preparation of the financial statements such that we are able to design appropriate audit procedures. However, this work will not cover all internal controls and is not designed for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal controls. If we identify significant deficiencies in controls, we will report these to the Pensions Committee.

Specialised skill or knowledge required to complete the audit

Our audit team will consult internally with our Technology Risk team in assessing the information technology general controls (ITGC).

Going concern

In most public sector entities (including pension funds), the financial reporting framework envisages that the going concern basis for accounting will apply where the entity's services will continue to be delivered by the public sector. In such cases, a material uncertainty related to going concern is unlikely to exist.

For many public sector entities, the financial sustainability of the entity is more likely to be of significant public interest than the application of the going concern basis. Our wider scope audit work considers the financial sustainability of the Fund.

Prevention and detection of fraud or error

In order to discharge our responsibilities regarding fraud and irregularity we require any fraud or irregularity issues to be reported to us as they arise. In particular, we require to be notified of all frauds which:

- involve the misappropriation or theft of assets or cash which are facilitated by weaknesses in internal control and;
- are over £5,000.

We also require a historic record of instances of fraud or irregularity to be maintained and a summary to be made available to us after each year end.

Anti-money laundering

We require to be notified on a timely basis of any suspected instances of money laundering so that we can inform Audit Scotland who will determine the necessary course of action.

Correspondence

People or organisations write to Audit Scotland because they have concerns about an issue within a public body that falls under the remit of the Auditor General or the Accounts Commission. An issue of concern may be something such as a breakdown in financial management or governance arrangements.

The key factor in determining whether Audit Scotland examines an issue is the relevance of the issue to Audit Scotland's role and functions. Audit Scotland and appointed auditors will make this judgement using their professional and technical knowledge.

National risk assessment

Where particular areas of national or sectoral risk have been identified by the Auditor General and Accounts Commission, they will request auditors to consider and report on those risks as they apply at a local level. For 2023/24 no such risks have been specified.

Climate change

In 2022/23 we were required to gather information on the Fund's response to climate change. The information is due to be analysed centrally by Audit Scotland to draw conclusions that can be used to determine an appropriate course of action over the period of audit appointment. The information will not be available until later in the year and therefore we have no specific actions on climate change for 2023/24.

For information, Audit Scotland centrally intends to carry out the following activities related to climate change in the short term:

- An overall approach to auditing climate change will be developed.
- A central review of disclosures related to climate change in public bodies' 2022/23 annual accounts will be carried out and shared in a Good Practice Note.
- Audit Scotland will continue to participate in discussions around the development and implementation of new climate change financial reporting standards and will keep auditors apprised of progress.

Wider audit scope work

The special accountabilities that attach to the conduct of public business, and the use of public money, mean that public sector audits must be planned and

undertaken from a wider perspective than in the private sector. This means providing assurance, not only on the financial statements, but providing audit judgements and conclusions on the appropriateness, effectiveness and impact of corporate governance and performance management arrangements and financial sustainability. [Appendix 2](#) provides detail of the wider scope areas of public sector audit work. Our initial risk assessment and scope of work planned for 2023/24 is outlined in the '[Wider Scope](#)' section of this plan.

Reporting our findings

At the conclusion of the audit we will issue:

- an independent auditor's report setting out our formal audit opinions within the annual report and accounts, and
- an annual audit report describing our audit findings, conclusions on key audit risks, judgements on the pace and depth of improvement on the wider scope areas, and any recommendations.

Definitions

We will use the following gradings to provide an overall assessment of the arrangements in place as they relate to the wider scope areas. The text provides a guide to the key criteria we use in the assessment, although not all of the criteria may exist in every case.



Financial statements - significant audit risks

Significant risks are risks that require special audit consideration and include identified risks of material misstatement that:

- our risk assessment procedures have identified as being close to the upper range of the spectrum of inherent risk due to their nature and a combination of the likelihood and potential magnitude of misstatement; or
- are required to be treated as significant risks due to requirements of ISAs (UK), for example in relation to management override of internal controls.

Significant risks at the financial statement level

The table below summarises significant risks of material misstatement identified at the financial statement level. These risks are considered to have a pervasive impact on the financial statements as a whole and potentially affect many assertions for classes of transaction, account balances and disclosures.

Management override of controls	Audit approach
<p>Auditing Standards require auditors to treat management override of controls as a significant risk on all audits. This is because management is in a unique position to perpetrate fraud by manipulating accounting records and overriding controls that otherwise appear to be operating effectively.</p> <p>Although the level of risk of management override of controls will vary from entity to entity, the risk is nevertheless present in all entities. Due to the unpredictable way in which such override could occur, it is a risk of material misstatement due to fraud and thus a significant risk.</p> <p>Specific areas of potential risk include manual journals, management estimates and judgements and one-off</p>	<p>Procedures performed to mitigate risks of material misstatement in this area will include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Documenting our understanding of the journals posting process and evaluating the design effectiveness of management controls over journals. • Analysing the journals listing and determining the criteria for selecting high risk and/or unusual journals. • Testing high risk and/or unusual journals posted during the year and after the draft accounts stage back to supporting documentation for appropriateness, corroboration and to ensure approval has been

Management override of controls	Audit approach
<p>transactions outside the ordinary course of the business.</p> <p>Risk of material misstatement: Very High</p>	<p>undertaken in line with the Fund's journals policy.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Gaining an understanding of the key accounting estimates and critical judgements made by management. We will challenge assumptions and consider for reasonableness and indicators of bias which could result in material misstatement due to fraud. • Evaluating the rationale for any changes in accounting policies, estimates or significant unusual transactions.

Significant risks at the assertion level for classes of transaction, account balances and disclosures

Fraud in revenue recognition	Audit approach
<p>Material misstatement due to fraudulent financial reporting relating to revenue recognition is a presumed risk in ISA 240 (The Auditor's Responsibilities Relating to Fraud in an Audit of Financial Statements).</p> <p>The presumption is that the Fund could adopt accounting policies or recognise income in such a way as to lead to a material misstatement in the reported financial position.</p> <p>Inherent risk of material misstatement:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Revenue (occurrence/completeness): High 	<p>Income recognised in the Fund's accounts relates to contributions received from member bodies and transfers in from other pension funds.</p> <p>We do not consider the revenue recognition risk to be significant due to a lack of incentive and opportunity to manipulate income of this nature and therefore have rebutted this risk. We will review our assessment during the fieldwork stage of our audit.</p>
Fraud in non-pay expenditure	Audit approach
<p>As most public sector bodies are net expenditure bodies, the risk of fraud is more likely to occur in expenditure. There is a risk that expenditure may be misstated resulting in a material misstatement in the financial statements.</p> <p>Given the financial pressures facing the public sector as a whole, there is an inherent fraud risk associated with the recording of expenditure, in particular, around the year end.</p> <p>Inherent risk of material misstatement:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Non-pay expenditure (occurrence / completeness): High 	<p>Expenditure recognised in the Fund's accounts relates to benefits payable, payments to and on account of leavers and management expenses. We have assessed benefits payable to be the Fund's only material expenditure stream.</p> <p>We perform separate tailored testing on benefits payable and therefore have rebutted this risk.</p> <p>We will review our assessment during the fieldwork stage of our audit.</p>

Investments valuations (key accounting estimate)	Audit approach
<p>The Fund held investments of £3.426billion as at 31 March 2023, of which 44% (£1.517 billion) were classified as level 2 or level 3 financial instruments. These level 2 and 3 financial instruments are generally gilts and investment in infrastructure, which are more subjective in their valuation. Valuations of such investments are not based on unadjusted quoted prices in active markets, rather estimation produced by Investment Managers commissioned by management as experts.</p> <p>Judgements are taken by the Investment Managers to value those investments whose prices are not publicly available. Investments of this nature are complex, difficult to value and include a significant degree of judgement from the investment manager. The material nature of this balance means that any error in judgement could result in a material valuation error.</p> <p>Inherent risk of material misstatement:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Investments (valuation/existence): High 	<p>Procedures performed to mitigate risks of material misstatement in this area will include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Evaluating management processes and assumptions for the calculation of the estimates, the instructions issued to the investment managers and the scope of their work. • Evaluating the competence, capabilities and objectivity of the investment managers. • Considering the basis on which the valuation is carried out and the challenge in the key assumptions applied. • Testing the information used by the investment managers to ensure it is complete and consistent with our understanding. • Ensuring that the year end valuations have been reflected correctly in the ledger and that accounting treatment within the financial statements is correct.

Disclosure of present value of retirement obligations (key accounting estimate)	Audit approach
<p>An actuarial estimate of the pension fund asset/liability is calculated on an annual basis under IAS 26 and on a triennial funding basis by an independent firm of actuaries with specialist knowledge and experience. The estimates are based on the most up to date membership data held by the Fund and have regard to local factors such as mortality rates and expected pay rises with other assumptions around inflation when calculating the liabilities.</p> <p>There is a risk that the data and assumptions used by management’s expert are not appropriate in producing their estimate of the pension obligations, which results in an increased risk of material misstatement of this disclosure.</p> <p>Inherent risk of material misstatement:</p> <p>Retirement obligations (valuation): High</p>	<p>Procedures performed to mitigate risks of material misstatement in this area will include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reviewing the controls in place to ensure that the data provided to the actuary is complete and accurate. • Considering the reasonableness of the assumptions used in the calculation against other local government pension fund actuaries and other observable data. • Agreeing the disclosures in the financial statements to information provided by the actuary.

The wider scope of public audit

Introduction

As described previously, the Code of Audit Practice frames a significant part of our responsibilities in terms of four wider scope audit areas:

- Financial sustainability
- Financial management
- Vision, leadership and governance
- Use of resources to improve outcomes.

Our planned audit work against these four areas is risk based and proportionate. Our initial assessment builds upon our understanding of the Fund's key priorities and risks along with discussions with management and review of committee minutes and key strategy documents.

Wider scope significant risks

At this stage, we have not identified any significant risks in relation to the wider scope areas. Audit planning however is a continuous process, and we will report all identified significant risks, as they relate to the four wider scope areas, in our annual audit report.

Further wider scope considerations

In formulating our audit plan, we identified areas of possible significant risk in relation to all wider scope areas. Our audit approach will include reviewing and concluding on the following considerations to substantiate whether significant risks exist:

Financial sustainability

- The Fund have received the results of the triennial valuation and is assessing the impact of the Fund. We will review the effect that this has on the longer term with regards to financial sustainability.

Financial management

- Whether the quality of the financial performance information presented to the Pensions Committee allows appropriate scrutiny of the Fund's performance and supports effective decision making.
- There were two admitted bodies that exited the Fund in the financial year. We will assess the impact of this on the Fund.

Use of resources to improve outcomes

- Whether the Fund can evidence the achievement of value for money in the use of resources.
- How the Fund demonstrates a focus for improvement in the context of continuing financial challenge.
- How the Fund provides a clear link between investment decisions and actual performance achieved.

Vision, leadership and governance

- We will consider whether the Fund can demonstrate that any changes to the governance arrangements in place are appropriate and operating effectively
- We will consider the governance compliance statement for areas of non-compliance and completeness of disclosure, and update our audit response accordingly.

Audit management team and timetable

Audit management team

Our audit management team will be as follows:

Role	Name	Email
Engagement Lead	Karen Jones	Karen.Jones@azets.co.uk
Engagement Manager	Andrew Ferguson	Andrew.Ferguson@azets.co.uk
Auditor in Charge	Thomas McCormick	Thomas.McCormick@azets.co.uk

Timetable

Audit work/ output	Target month/s	Pensions Committee	Deadline
Audit plan	February / March	28 March 2024	31 March 2024
Interim audit	March / April	N/A	N/A
Final audit	July - September	N/A	N/A
Independent Auditor's Report	September	30 September 2024	30 September 2024
Annual Report to the Pensions Committee and the Controller of Audit	September	30 September 2024	30 September 2024

Our requirements

The audit process is underpinned by effective project management to co-ordinate and apply our resources efficiently to meet your deadlines. It is essential that the audit team and the Fund's finance team work closely together to achieve the above timetable.

In order for us to be able to complete our work in line with the agreed fee and timetable, we require the following:

- draft financial statements of a good quality by the deadlines you have agreed with management.
- good quality working papers at the same time as the draft financial statements. These will be discussed with management in advance to ensure clarity over our expectations.
- ensuring staff are available and on site (as agreed) during the period of the audit.
- prompt and adequate responses to audit queries.

Audit Fee

The quality of audit work is an essential requirement in successfully delivering a fully compliant ISA and Code of Audit Practice audit. Audit Scotland sets an expected audit fee that assumes the body has sound governance arrangements in place, has been operating effectively throughout the year, prepares comprehensive and accurate unaudited accounts and meets the agreed timetable for audit.

The expected fee is reviewed by Audit Scotland each year, based on Audit Scotland’s overall budget proposals. The budget proposal and fee levels (for the 2023/24 audits) have been developed in the context of a challenging economic environment, increased expectations on the audit profession and the ongoing process of recovery following the Covid-19 pandemic.

The 2023/24 expected audit fee is based on applying a 6% increase to the 2022/23 expected audit fee. This increase is applied on a sector basis and reflects the conditions of the public sector market.

As auditors we negotiate a fee with the Fund during the planning process. The fee may be varied above the expected fee level to reflect the circumstances and local risks within the body.

For 2023/24, we propose setting the audit fee above the expected fee level. We propose setting the 2023/24 audit fee above the expected fee to reflect the following areas of work:

	Fee
Changes to auditing standards have increased the complexity and volume of audit work required to carry out audits in line with these standards, partly as a response to questions over the sufficiency of audit in light corporate failings. In 2022/23, auditing standards (ISA 240 and ISA 315) relating to risk assessment came into effect which substantially changed the approach auditors take to risk assessment and the resultant audit procedures.	£3,600

Fee element	2023/24	2022/23
Auditor remuneration (expected fee level)	£60,340	£56,930
Audit remuneration (above expected fee level for risk areas noted above)	£3,600	£3,985
Pooled costs	£2,200	-
Audit support costs	-	£2,160
Sectoral cap adjustment	(£17,770)	(£16,850)
Total fee	£48,370	£46,225

We will take account of the risk exposure of the Fund and the management assurances in place. We assume receipt of the draft working papers at the outset of our final audit visit. If the draft accounts and papers are late, or agreed management assurances are unavailable, we reserve the right to charge an additional fee for additional audit work. An additional fee will be required in relation to any other significant work not within our planned audit activity.

Audit independence and objectivity

Auditor Independence

We are required to communicate on a timely basis all facts and matters that may have a bearing on our independence.

In particular, FRC's Ethical Standard stipulates that where an auditor undertakes non audit work, appropriate safeguards must be applied to reduce or eliminate any threats to independence.

Azets has not been appointed to provide any non-audit services during the year. We confirm that we comply with FRC's Ethical Standard. In our professional judgement, the audit process is independent and our objectivity has not been compromised in any way. In particular there are and have been no relationships between Azets and the Fund, its committee members and senior management that may reasonably be thought to bear on our objectivity and independence.

Appendices



Appendix 1: Materiality	25
Appendix 2: Responsibilities of the Auditor and the Fund	28

.....

Appendix 1: Materiality

Whilst our audit procedures are designed to identify misstatements which are material to our audit opinion, we also report to those charged with governance and management any uncorrected misstatements of lower value errors to the extent that our audit identifies these.

Under ISA (UK) 260 we are obliged to report uncorrected omissions or misstatements other than those which are 'clearly trivial' to those charged with governance. ISA (UK) 260 defines 'clearly trivial' as matters that are clearly inconsequential, whether taken individually or in aggregate and whether judged by any quantitative or qualitative criteria.

An omission or misstatement is regarded as material if it would reasonably influence the users of the financial statements. The assessment of what is material is a matter of professional judgement and is affected by our assessment of the risk profile of the Fund and the needs of the users.

When planning, we make judgements about the size of misstatements which we consider to be material, and which provide a basis for determining the nature and extent of our audit procedures. Materiality is revised as our audit progresses, should we become aware of any information that would have caused us to determine a different amount had we known about it during our planning.

Our assessment, at the planning stage, of materiality for the year ended 31 March 2024 was calculated as follows.

Materiality

	£million
Overall materiality for the financial statements	51.300
Performance materiality (75% of materiality)	38.475
Trivial threshold (5% of materiality)	2.565

Materiality	<p>Our initial assessment is based on approximately 1.5% of the Fund’s net assets as at 31 March 2023. We consider this to be the principal consideration for the users of the financial statements when assessing financial performance.</p>
Performance materiality	<p>Performance materiality is the working level of materiality used throughout the audit. We use performance materiality to determine the nature, timing and extent of audit procedures carried out. We perform audit procedures on all transactions, or groups of transactions, and balances that exceed our performance materiality. This means that we perform a greater level of testing on the areas deemed to be at significant risk of material misstatement.</p> <p>Performance materiality is set at a value less than overall materiality for the financial statements as a whole to reduce to an appropriately low level the probability that the aggregate of the uncorrected and undetected misstatements exceed overall materiality.</p>
Trivial misstatements	<p>Trivial misstatements are matters that are clearly inconsequential, whether taken individually or in aggregate and whether judged by any quantitative or qualitative criteria.</p> <p>Individual errors above this threshold are communicated to those charged with governance.</p>



Special materiality for dealings with members

	£million
Dealings with members materiality	5.960
Performance materiality (75% of materiality)	4.470
Trivial threshold (5% of materiality)	0.298

Materiality We apply lower materiality for dealings with members, based on the fact these transactions are significant to the Fund’s activities and it would not be appropriate to use the assets-based materiality to audit them. Our initial assessment is based on approximately 5% of the Fund’s 2022/23 gross expenditure as disclosed in the 2022/23 audited financial statements. We consider this to be the principal consideration for the users of the financial statements when assessing the Fund’s dealings with members.

Related Parties disclosures are material by nature. For related party transactions, in line with the standards we will consider the significance of the transaction with regard to both the Fund and the counter party, the smaller of which will drive materiality considerations on a transaction-by-transaction basis.

Appendix 2: Responsibilities of the Auditor and the Fund

The Accounts Commission, Controller of Audit and Audit Scotland

The Accounts Commission is an independent public body. Its members are appointed by Scottish Ministers and are responsible for holding local government to account.

Under statute, the Accounts Commission appoints a Controller of Audit to consider the results of the audit of accounts, including the wider-scope responsibilities and Best Value auditing. The Controller of Audit makes reports to the Accounts Commission on matters arising from the accounts and on Best Value and acts independently of the Accounts Commission when reporting to it.

Audit Scotland is an independent statutory body that co-ordinates and supports the delivery of high-quality public sector audit in Scotland. Audit Scotland oversees the appointment and performance of auditors, provides technical support, delivers performance audit and Best Value work programmes and undertakes financial audits of public bodies.

Auditor responsibilities

Code of Audit Practice

The Code of Audit Practice (the [2021 Code](#)) describes the high-level, principles-based purpose and scope of public audit in Scotland.

The Code of Audit Practice outlines the responsibilities of external auditors appointed by the Accounts Commission and it is a condition of our appointment that we follow it.

Our responsibilities

Auditor responsibilities are derived from the Code, statute, International Standards on Auditing (UK) and the Ethical Standard for auditors, other professional requirements and best practice, and guidance from Audit Scotland.

We are responsible for the audit of the accounts and the wider-scope responsibilities explained below. We act independently in carrying out our role and in exercising professional judgement. We report to the Pensions Committee and others, including Audit Scotland, on the results of our audit work.

Weaknesses or risks, including fraud and other irregularities, identified by auditors, are only those which come to our attention during our normal audit work in accordance with the Code and may not be all that exist.

Wider scope audit work

Reflecting the fact that public money is involved, public audit is planned and undertaken from a wider perspective than in the private sector.

The wider scope audit specified by the Code broadens the audit of the accounts to include additional aspects or risks in areas of financial management; financial sustainability; vision, leadership and governance; and use of resources to improve outcomes.

Financial management



Financial management means having sound budgetary processes. Audited bodies require to understand the financial environment and whether their internal controls are operating effectively.

Auditor considerations

Auditors consider whether the body has effective arrangements to secure sound financial management. This includes the strength of the financial management culture, accountability, and arrangements to prevent and detect fraud, error and other irregularities.

Financial sustainability



Financial sustainability means being able to meet the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs.

Auditor considerations

Auditors consider the extent to which audited bodies show regard to financial sustainability. They look ahead to the medium term (two to five years) and longer term (over five years) to consider whether the body is planning effectively so it can continue to deliver services.

Vision, leadership and governance

Audited bodies must have a clear vision and strategy and set priorities for improvement within this vision and strategy. They work together with partners and communities to improve outcomes and foster a culture of innovation.



Auditor considerations

Auditors consider the clarity of plans to implement the vision, strategy and priorities adopted by the leaders of the audited body. Auditors also consider the effectiveness of governance arrangements for delivery, including openness and transparency of decision-making; robustness of scrutiny and shared working arrangements; and reporting of decisions and outcomes, and financial and performance information.

Use of resources to improve outcomes

Audited bodies need to make best use of their resources to meet stated outcomes and improvement objectives, through effective planning and working with strategic partners and communities. This includes demonstrating economy, efficiency and effectiveness through the use of financial and other resources, and reporting performance against outcomes.



Auditor considerations

Auditors consider the clarity of arrangements in place to ensure that resources are deployed to improve strategic outcomes, meet the needs of service users taking account of inequalities, and deliver continuous improvement in priority services.

Best Value

The administering authority (Fife Council) has responsibility for ensuring that its business, including that of the Fund, is conducted in accordance with the law and proper standards, and that public money is safeguarded and properly accounted for, and used economically, efficiently and effectively. The council also has a statutory duty to make arrangements to secure Best Value, which are subject to audit. The outcome of audit work on the administering authority's Best Value arrangements is reported in the Fife Council annual audit report.

Audit quality

The Auditor General and the Accounts Commission require assurance on the quality of public audit in Scotland through comprehensive audit quality arrangements that apply to all audit work and providers. These arrangements recognise the importance of audit quality to the Auditor General and the Accounts Commission and provide regular reporting on audit quality and performance.

Audit Scotland maintains and delivers an [Audit Quality Framework](#).

The most recent audit quality report can be found at [Quality of public audit in Scotland: Annual report 2022/23 | Audit Scotland \(audit-scotland.gov.uk\)](#)

Fund responsibilities

Fife Council is the administering authority for the Fife Pension Fund. The Council delegates this responsibility to the Pensions Committee. The Pensions Committee has primary responsibility for ensuring the proper financial stewardship of public funds, compliance with relevant legislation and establishing effective arrangements for governance, propriety and regularity that enables it to successfully deliver its objectives. The features of proper financial stewardship include the following:

Area	Fund responsibilities
<p>Corporate governance</p>	<p>The Fund is responsible for establishing arrangements to ensure the proper conduct of its affairs including the legality of activities and transactions, and for monitoring the adequacy and effectiveness of these arrangements. Those charged with governance should be involved in monitoring these arrangements.</p>
<p>Financial statements and related reports</p>	<p>The Fund has responsibility for:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • preparing financial statements which give a true and fair view of the financial position and its expenditure and income, in accordance with the applicable financial reporting framework and relevant legislation; • maintaining accounting records and working papers that have been prepared to an acceptable professional standard and support the balances and transactions in its financial statements and related disclosures; • ensuring the regularity of transactions, by putting in place systems of internal control to ensure that they are in accordance with the appropriate authority; and • preparing and publishing, along with the financial statements, an annual governance statement, management commentary (or equivalent) and a remuneration report in accordance with prescribed requirements.
	<p>Management commentaries should be fair, balanced and understandable. Management is responsible, with the oversight of those charged with governance, for communicating relevant information to users about the entity and its financial performance, including providing adequate disclosures in accordance with the applicable financial reporting framework. The relevant information should be communicated clearly and concisely.</p>

Area	Fund responsibilities
	<p>The Fund is responsible for developing and implementing effective systems of internal control as well as financial, operational and compliance controls. These systems should support the achievement of its objectives and safeguard and secure value for money from the public funds at its disposal. The Fund is also responsible for establishing effective and appropriate internal audit and risk-management functions.</p>
<p>Standards of conduct for prevention and detection of fraud and error</p>	<p>The Fund is responsible for establishing arrangements to prevent and detect fraud, error and irregularities, bribery and corruption and also to ensure that its affairs are managed in accordance with proper standards of conduct by putting proper arrangements in place.</p>
<p>Financial position</p>	<p>The Fund is responsible for putting in place proper arrangements to ensure the financial position is soundly based having regard to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • such financial monitoring and reporting arrangements as may be specified; • compliance with statutory financial requirements and achievement of financial targets; • balances and reserves, including strategies about levels and their future use; • plans to deal with uncertainty in the medium and long term; and • the impact of planned future policies and foreseeable developments on the financial position.



© Azets 2024. All rights reserved. Azets refers to Azets Audit Services Limited. Registered in England & Wales Registered No. 09652677. VAT Registration No. 219 0608 22. Registered to carry on audit work in the UK and regulated for a range of investment business activities by the Institute of Chartered Accountants in England and Wales.

We are an accounting, tax, audit, advisory and business services group that delivers a personal experience both digitally and at your door.

Accounting | Tax | Audit | Advisory | Technology
