


# Royal Botanic Garden Edinburgh

Annual Audit Plan 2024/25



 AUDIT SCOTLAND

Prepared for Royal Botanic Garden Edinburgh

March 2025

---

# Contents

---

Introduction	3
Audit scope and responsibilities	4
Audit of the annual report and accounts	6
Wider scope and Best Value	11
Reporting arrangements, timetable and audit fee	13
Other matters	16

---

## Accessibility

You can find out more and read this report using assistive technology on our website [www.audit.scot/accessibility](http://www.audit.scot/accessibility).

---

# Introduction

---

## Purpose of the Annual Audit Plan

1. The purpose of this Annual Audit Plan is to provide an overview of the planned scope and timing of the 2024/25 audit of Royal Botanic Garden Edinburgh's annual report and accounts. It outlines the audit work planned to meet the audit requirements set out in [auditing standards](#) and the [Code of Audit Practice](#), including supplementary guidance.

## Appointed auditor and independence

2. Pauline Murray, of Audit Scotland, has been appointed by the Auditor General for Scotland as external auditor of Royal Botanic Garden Edinburgh for the period from 2022/23 until 2026/27. The 2024/25 financial year is therefore the third of the five-year audit appointment.

3. Pauline Murray and the audit team are independent of Royal Botanic Garden Edinburgh in accordance with relevant ethical requirements, including the Financial Reporting Council's Ethical Standard. This standard imposes stringent rules to ensure the independence and objectivity of auditors. Audit Scotland has robust arrangements in place to ensure compliance with ethical standards. The arrangements are overseen by the Executive Director of Innovation and Quality, who serves as Audit Scotland's Ethics Partner.

4. The Ethical Standard requires auditors to communicate any relationships that may affect the independence and objectivity of the audit team. There are no such relationships pertaining to the audit of Royal Botanic Garden Edinburgh to communicate.

---

# Audit scope and responsibilities

---

## Scope of the audit

5. The audit is performed in accordance with the Code of Audit Practice, including supplementary guidance, International Standards on Auditing (UK), and relevant legislation. These set out the requirements for the scope of the audit which includes:

- An audit of the financial statements and an opinion on whether they give a true and fair view and are free from material misstatement, including the regularity of income and expenditure.
- An opinion on statutory other information published with the financial statements in the annual report and accounts, the Trustee's Report, and the Governance Statement, and an opinion on the audited part of the Remuneration and Staff Report.
- Conclusions on the Royal Botanic Garden Edinburgh's arrangements in relation to the wider scope areas: Financial Management, Financial Sustainability, Vision, Leadership, and Governance, and Use of Resources to Improve Outcomes.
- Reporting on the Royal Botanic Garden Edinburgh's arrangements for securing Best Value.
- Providing assurance on the Whole of Government Accounts.
- Provision of an Annual Audit Report setting out significant matters identified from the audit of the annual report and accounts and the wider scope areas specified in the Code of Audit Practice.

## Responsibilities

6. The Code of Audit Practice sets out the respective responsibilities of Royal Botanic Garden Edinburgh and the auditor. A summary of the key responsibilities is outlined below.

### Auditor's responsibilities

7. The responsibilities of auditors in the public sector are established in the Public Finance and Accountability (Scotland) Act 2000. These include providing an independent opinion on the financial statements and other information reported within the annual report and accounts, and concluding on Royal Botanic Garden Edinburgh's arrangements in place for the wider scope areas.

## Royal Botanic Garden Edinburgh's responsibilities

8. Royal Botanic Garden Edinburgh has primary responsibility for ensuring proper financial stewardship of public funds, compliance with relevant legislation and establishing effective arrangements for governance, propriety and regularity that enables it to successfully deliver its objectives. The features of proper financial stewardship include:

- Establishing arrangements to ensure the proper conduct of its affairs.
- Preparation of an annual report and accounts, comprising financial statements and other information that gives a true and fair view.
- Establishing arrangements for the prevention and detection of fraud, error and irregularities, and bribery and corruption.
- Implementing arrangements to ensure its financial position is soundly based.
- Making arrangements to secure Best Value.
- Establishing an internal audit function.

# Audit of the annual report and accounts

## Introduction

9. The audit of the annual report and accounts is driven by materiality and the risks of material misstatement in the financial statements, with greater attention being given to the significant risks of material misstatement. This chapter outlines materiality, the significant risks of material misstatement that have been identified, and the impact these have on the planned audit procedures.

## Materiality

10. The concept of materiality is applied by auditors in planning and performing an audit, and in evaluating the effect of any uncorrected misstatements on the financial statements or other information reported in the annual report and accounts.

11. Broadly, the concept of materiality is to determine whether matters identified during the audit could reasonably be expected to influence the decisions of users of the financial statements. Auditors set a monetary threshold when determining materiality, although some issues may be considered material by their nature. Therefore, materiality is ultimately a matter of the auditor's professional judgement.

12. The materiality levels determined for the audit of Royal Botanic Garden Edinburgh and its group are outlined in [Exhibit 1](#).

## Exhibit 1

### 2024/25 Materiality levels for Royal Botanic Garden Edinburgh and its group

Materiality	RBGE	Group
<b>Materiality</b> – based on an assessment of the needs of users of the financial statements and the nature of Royal Botanic Garden Edinburgh's operations, the benchmark used to determine materiality is gross expenditure based on the audited 2023/24 financial statements. Materiality has been set at 2% of the benchmark.	£462,000	£511,000

Materiality	RBGE	Group
<b>Performance materiality</b> – this acts as a trigger point. If the aggregate of misstatements identified during the audit exceeds performance materiality, this could indicate that further audit procedures are required. Using professional judgement, performance materiality has been set at 65% of planning materiality.	£300,000	£332,000
<b>Reporting threshold</b> – all misstatements greater than the reporting threshold will be reported.	£23,000	£25,000

Source: Audit Scotland

## Significant risks of material misstatement to the financial statements

**13.** The risk assessment process draws on the audit team’s cumulative knowledge of Royal Botanic Garden Edinburgh, including the nature of its operations and its significant transaction streams, the system of internal control, governance arrangements and processes, and developments that could impact on its financial reporting.

**14.** Based on the risk assessment process, significant risks of material misstatement to the financial statements have been identified and these are summarised in [Exhibit 2, page 8](#). These are the risks which have the greatest impact on the planned audit approach, and the planned audit procedures in response to the risks are outlined in Exhibit 2.

**15.** The risk assessment process is an iterative and dynamic process. The assessment of risks set out in this Annual Audit Plan and Exhibit 2 may change as more information and evidence is obtained over the course of the audit. Where such changes occur, these will be reported to Royal Botanic Garden Edinburgh and those charged with governance, where relevant.

## Exhibit 2

### Significant risks of material misstatement to the financial statements

Risk of material misstatement	Planned audit response
<p><b>Fraud caused by management override of controls</b></p> <p>Management is in a unique position to perpetrate fraud because of management's ability to override controls that otherwise appear to be operating effectively.</p>	<p>The audit team will:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Evaluate the design and implementation of controls over journal entry processing.</li> <li>• Make inquiries of individuals involved in the financial reporting process about inappropriate or unusual activity relating to the processing of journal entries and other adjustments.</li> <li>• Test journals entries, focusing on those that are assessed as higher risk.</li> <li>• Evaluate significant transactions outside the normal course of business.</li> <li>• Assess the adequacy of controls in place for identifying and disclosing related party relationships and transactions in the financial statements.</li> <li>• Assess changes to the methods and underlying assumptions used to prepare accounting estimates and assess these for evidence of management bias.</li> </ul>

Source: Audit Scotland

### Risks of material misstatement

**16.** As part of our assessment of audit risks, we have identified other areas where we consider there are also risks of material misstatement to the financial statements. Based on our assessment of the likelihood and magnitude of the risks, we do not consider these to represent significant risks. We will keep these under review as the audit progresses:

- **Recognition of income:** Prior year audit testing identified errors in the recognition of income. We will increase our testing of income with a focus on cut-off testing and target testing of prepayments and accrued income. We will also evaluate management's review of income that is planned as part of closedown procedures.
- **Valuation of land and buildings:** A desktop review of valuations is planned for 2024/25, consistent with the accounting policies. The sensitivity of estimates to changes in key assumptions presents an inherent risk in this area. We will review management's indexation



process to ensure that land and buildings values in the accounts are free from misstatement.

## Key audit matters

**17.** The Code of Audit Practice requires public sector auditors to communicate key audit matters. Key audit matters are those matters, that in the auditor's professional judgement, are of most significance to the audit of the financial statements and require most attention when performing the audit.

**18.** In determining key audit matters, auditors consider:

- Areas of higher or significant risk of material misstatement.
- Areas where significant judgement is required, including accounting estimates that are subject to a high degree of estimation uncertainty.
- Significant events or transactions that occurred during the year.

**19.** The matters determined to be key audit matters will be communicated in the Annual Audit Report. Exhibit 2 outlines the significant risks of material misstatement to the financial statements that have been identified, including those that have greatest impact on the planned audit procedures and require most attention when performing the audit.

## Group audit

**20.** Royal Botanic Garden Edinburgh is part of a group and prepares group financial statements. The group is made up of three components, including Royal Botanic Garden Edinburgh which is the parent of the group. Risk assessment procedures have been performed on the group audit to identify if there are any risks of material misstatement to the group financial statements, or any components where audit procedures are required for the purposes of the group audit. The outcome of the risk assessment procedures on the group audit are outlined in [Exhibit 3](#).

**Exhibit 3****Outcome of risk assessment procedures on the group audit**

<b>Group component</b>	<b>Accounting treatment</b>	<b>Risk of material misstatement</b>	<b>Audit procedures required</b>	<b>Auditor</b>
Royal Botanic Garden Edinburgh	Consolidated on a line-by-line basis	Yes – Exhibit 2	Yes – full scope audit	Audit Scotland
Botanics Trading Company	Consolidated on a line-by-line basis	No, but is material to group financial statements	Yes – risk assessment questionnaire and review and evaluate component auditor's work	Chiene & Tait
Botanics Energy Company	Consolidated on a line-by-line basis	No, but is material to group financial statements	Yes – risk assessment questionnaire and review and evaluate component auditor's work	Chiene & Tait

Source: Audit Scotland

**21.** Where audit procedures are required on a component's financial statements, and the component auditor is different to Royal Botanic Garden Edinburgh's appointed auditor, group audit instructions will be issued to the component auditor outlining expectations and requirements in performing these audit procedures.

---

# Wider scope and Best Value

---

## Introduction

**22.** Reflecting the fact that public money is involved, the Code of Audit Practice requires that public audit is planned and undertaken from a wider perspective than in the private sector. The wider scope audit set out by the Code of Audit Practice broadens the audit of the annual report and accounts to include consideration of additional aspects or risks in four wider scope areas, which are summarised below:

- **Financial Management** – this means having sound budgetary processes. Factors that can impact on Royal Botanic Garden Edinburgh being able to secure sound financial management include the strength of the financial management culture, accountability, and arrangements to prevent and detect fraud, error and other irregularities, bribery and corruption.
- **Financial Sustainability** – this means looking forward over the medium and longer term in planning the services to be delivered and how they will be delivered effectively. This is assessed by considering Royal Botanic Garden Edinburgh’s medium- to longer-term planning for service delivery.
- **Vision, Leadership and Governance** – this means having a clear vision and strategy, with set priorities within the vision and strategy. This is assessed by considering the clarity of plans in place to deliver the vision and strategy and the effectiveness of the governance arrangements to support delivery.
- **Use of Resources to Improve Outcomes** – this means using resources to meet stated outcomes and improvement objectives through effective planning and working with partners and communities. This is assessed by considering Royal Botanic Garden Edinburgh’s arrangements for ensuring resources are deployed to improve strategic outcomes, meet the needs of service users, and deliver continuous improvement.

**23.** A conclusion on the effectiveness and appropriateness of arrangements Royal Botanic Garden Edinburgh has in place for each of the wider scope areas will be reported in the Annual Audit Report.

## Duty of Best Value

**24.** The [Scottish Public Finance Manual](#) (SPFM) explains that Accountable Officers have a specific responsibility to ensure that

arrangements have been made to secure Best Value. [Best Value in public services: guidance for Accountable Officers](#) is issued by Scottish Ministers and sets out their duty to ensure that arrangements are in place to secure Best Value in public services.

**25.** Consideration of the arrangements Royal Botanic Garden Edinburgh has in place to secure Best Value will be carried out alongside the wider scope audit, and a conclusion on the arrangements Royal Botanic Garden Edinburgh has in place will be reported in the Annual Audit Report.

**26.** Auditors may also carry out specific audit work covering the seven Best Value characteristics set out in the SPFM. The risk assessment process did not identify a need to carry out specific audit work on any of the characteristics. In addition, auditors are required to carry out a review of the 'fairness and equality' characteristic at least once during the audit appointment, and this will be carried out later in the audit appointment.

### **Significant wider scope and Best Value risks**

**27.** No significant risks in the wider scope areas or Best Value were identified from the risk assessment process.

---

# Reporting arrangements, timetable and audit fee

---

## Audit outputs

**28.** The outputs from the 2024/25 audit include:

- This Annual Audit Plan.
- An Independent Auditor's Report to Royal Botanic Garden Edinburgh, the Auditor General for Scotland, and the Scottish Parliament setting out opinions on the annual report and accounts.
- An Annual Audit Report to Royal Botanic Garden Edinburgh and the Auditor General for Scotland setting out significant matters identified from the audit of the annual report and accounts, conclusions from the wider scope and Best Value audit, and recommendations, where required.

**29.** The matters to be reported in the outputs will be discussed with Royal Botanic Garden Edinburgh for factual accuracy before they are issued. All outputs from the audit will be published on Audit Scotland's website, apart from the Independent Auditor's Report, which is included in the audited annual report and accounts.

**30.** Target dates for the audit outputs are set by the Auditor General for Scotland. In setting the target dates for the audit outputs, consideration is given to the statutory date for laying the annual report and accounts, which is 31 December 2025 for central government non-departmental public bodies, and other similar bodies.

**31.** The audit team will be unable to achieve the target date of 31 October 2025 for issuing the Independent Auditor's Report and Annual Audit Report. This is due to prioritising the quality of our audit work over meeting target dates, as required by the Auditor General for Scotland, and consistent with messaging from the Financial Reporting Council which has made clear that audit quality takes precedence. The audit team are working towards completion of the audit by the later date of 26 November 2025.

## Audit timetable

**32.** Achieving the timetable for production of the annual report and accounts, supported by complete and accurate working papers, is critical

to delivery of the audit to agreed target dates. [Exhibit 4](#) includes a timetable for the audit, which has been agreed with management. Agreed target dates will be kept under review as the audit progresses, and any changes required, and their potential impact, will be discussed with Royal Botanic Garden Edinburgh and reported to those charged with governance, where required.

#### Exhibit 4 2024/25 audit timetable

Audit activity	Royal Botanic Garden Edinburgh target date	Audit team target date	Relevant committee date
Issue of Annual Audit Plan		4 March 2025	12 March 2025
<b>Annual report and accounts:</b>			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Submission of unaudited annual report and accounts and all working papers to audit team</li> </ul>	30 September 2025		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Latest date for audit clearance meeting</li> </ul>	12 November 2025	12 November 2025	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Issue of draft Letter of Representation, proposed Independent Auditor's Report, and proposed Annual Audit Report</li> </ul>		19 November 2025	19 November 2025
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Agreement of audited and unsigned annual report and accounts</li> </ul>	26 November 2025	26 November 2025	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Approval by those charged with governance and signing of audited annual report and accounts</li> </ul>	10 December 2025		10 December 2025
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Signing of Independent Auditor's Report and issue of Annual Audit Report</li> </ul>		11 December 2025	
Certification of Whole of Government Accounts		11 December 2025	

Source: Audit Scotland

## Audit fee

**33.** Royal Botanic Garden Edinburgh's audit fee is determined in line with Audit Scotland's fee setting arrangements. The proposed audit fee for the 2024/25 audit is £24,950 (2023/24: £24,480).

**34.** In setting the audit fee, it is assumed that Royal Botanic Garden Edinburgh has effective governance arrangements in place and the complete annual report and accounts will be provided for audit in line with the agreed timetable. The audit fee assumes there will be no significant changes to the planned scope of the audit. Where the audit cannot proceed as planned, for example, due to incomplete or inadequate working papers, the audit fee may need to be increased.

---

# Other matters

---

## Internal audit

**35.** Royal Botanic Garden Edinburgh is responsible for establishing an internal audit function as part of an effective system of internal control. As part of the audit, the audit team will obtain an understanding of internal audit, including its nature, responsibilities, and activities.

**36.** While internal audit and external audit have differing roles and responsibilities, external auditors may seek to rely on the work of internal audit where it is considered appropriate. A review of internal audit's 2024/25 audit plan was carried out to identify if there were any areas where the audit team could rely on its work. The audit team concluded it will not rely on internal audit's work. However, the audit team will review internal audit's reports and assess if there is any impact on the audit.

## Audit quality

**37.** Audit Scotland is committed to the consistent delivery of high-quality audit. Audit quality requires ongoing attention and improvement to keep pace with external and internal changes. Details of the arrangements in place for the delivery of high-quality audits is available from the [Audit Scotland website](#).

**38.** The International Standards on Quality Management (ISQM) applicable to Audit Scotland for 2024/25 audits are:

- ISQM (UK) 1, which deals with an audit organisation's responsibilities to design, implement, and operate a system of quality management (SoQM) for audits. Audit Scotland's SoQM consists of a variety of components, such as: governance arrangements and culture to support audit quality, compliance with ethical requirements, ensuring Audit Scotland is dedicated to high-quality audit through engagement performance and resourcing arrangements, and ensuring there are robust quality monitoring arrangements in place. Audit Scotland carries out an annual evaluation of its SoQM and has concluded it complies with this standard.
- ISQM (UK) 2, which sets out arrangements for conducting engagement quality reviews, which are performed by senior management not involved in an audit, to review significant judgements and conclusions reached by the audit team, and the appropriateness of proposed audit opinions on high-risk audits.



**39.** To monitor quality at an individual audit level, Audit Scotland carries out internal quality reviews on a sample of audits. Additionally, the Institute of Chartered Accountants of England and Wales (ICAEW) carries out independent quality reviews on a sample of audits.

**40.** Actions to address deficiencies identified by internal and external quality reviews are included in a rolling Quality Improvement Action Plan, which is used to support continuous improvement. Progress with implementing planned actions is monitored on a regular basis by Audit Scotland's Quality and Ethics Committee.

**41.** Audit Scotland may periodically seek the views of Royal Botanic Garden Edinburgh on the quality of audit services provided. The audit team would also welcome feedback at any time.

# Royal Botanic Garden Edinburgh

Annual Audit Plan 2024/25



Audit Scotland, 4th Floor, 102 West Port, Edinburgh EH3 9DN  
Phone: 0131 625 1500 Email: [info@audit.scot](mailto:info@audit.scot)  
[www.audit.scot](http://www.audit.scot)