



Annual Audit Plan

Mugdock Country Park Joint Management Committee - Year ending 31 March 2025

March 2026

Mugdock Country Park Joint Management Committee
12 Strathkelvin Place
Kirkintilloch
G66 1TJ

16th March 2026

Dear Committee Members,

Annual Audit Plan – Year ending 31 March 2025

We are pleased to present our Annual Audit Plan for Mugdock Country Park Joint Management Committee for the year ending 31 March 2025. This report summarises our audit approach, including the significant audit risks and areas of key judgement we have identified, and provides details of our audit team. In addition, as it is a fundamental requirement that an auditor is, and is seen to be, independent of an audited entity, the section of the report titled '*Confirmation of our independence*' summarises our considerations and conclusions on our independence as auditors.

Two-way communication with you is key to a successful audit and is important in:

- Reaching a mutual understanding of the scope of the audit and our respective responsibilities;
- Sharing information to assist each of us to fulfil our respective responsibilities;
- Providing you with constructive observations arising during the audit process; and
- Ensuring that we, as external auditors, gain an understanding of your attitude and views in respect of the internal and external operational, financial, compliance, and other risks facing Mugdock Country Park Joint Management Committee which may affect the audit, including the likelihood of those risks materialising and how they are monitored and managed.

**forvis
mazars**

Forvis Mazars
100 Queen Street
Glasgow
G1 3DN

With that in mind, this report, which has been prepared following our initial planning discussions with management, facilitates a discussion with you on our audit approach. We welcome any questions, concerns, or input you may have on our approach or role as auditor.

This report also contains appendices that outline our key communications with you during the audit.

Providing a high-quality service is extremely important to us and we strive to provide technical excellence with the highest level of service quality, together with continuous improvement to exceed your expectations. If you have any concerns or comments about this report or our audit approach, please contact me.

Yours faithfully,

Tom Reid (Audit Director)
Forvis Mazars

Contents

- 01** Engagement and responsibilities summary
- 02** Your audit engagement team
- 03** Audit scope, approach and timeline
- 04** Materiality and misstatements
- 05** Significant risks and other key judgement areas
- 06** Wider scope and Best Value
- 07** Audit fees and other services
- 08** Confirmation of our independence

Appendix A – Internal Control Deficiencies

Appendix B - Key communication points

This document is to be regarded as confidential to Mugdock Country Park Joint Management Committee. It has been prepared for the sole use of the Management Committee as the appropriate sub-committee charged with governance. No responsibility is accepted to any other person in respect of the whole or part of its contents. Our written consent must first be obtained before this document, or any part of it, is disclosed to a third party.

Engagement and responsibilities summary

Engagement and responsibilities summary

We are appointed to perform the external audit of Mugdock Country Park Joint Management Committee ('the Committee') for the year to 31 March 2025. The scope of our engagement is set out in the Code of Audit Practice, issued by the Auditor General and the Accounts Commission available from the Audit Scotland website: [Code of audit practice | Audit Scotland \(audit-scotland.gov.uk\)](https://www.audit-scotland.gov.uk). Our responsibilities are principally derived from the Local Government (Scotland) Act 1973 (the 1973 Act) and the Code of Audit Practice, as outlined below and overleaf.

Audit opinion

We are responsible for forming and expressing an opinion on whether the financial statements are prepared, in all material respects, in accordance with applicable law and UK adopted international accounting standards as interpreted and adopted by the Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2024/25.

Our audit does not relieve management or the Management Committee as Those Charged With Governance, of their responsibilities.

The Treasurer is responsible for the assessment of the Committee's ability to continue as a going concern. As auditors, we are required to obtain sufficient, appropriate audit evidence regarding, and conclude on:

- a) whether a material uncertainty related to going concern exists, and
- b) the appropriateness of the Treasurer's use of the going concern basis of accounting in the preparation of the financial statements.

Fraud

The responsibility for safeguarding assets and for the prevention and detection of fraud, error, and non-compliance with law or regulations rests with both you and management. This includes establishing and maintaining internal controls over asset protection, compliance with relevant laws and regulations, and the reliability of financial reporting.

As part of our audit procedures in relation to fraud, we are required to inquire of you and key management personnel on their knowledge of instances of fraud, and their views on the risks of fraud and on internal controls that mitigate those risks. In accordance with International Standards on Auditing (UK), we plan and perform our audit to obtain reasonable assurance that the financial statements taken as a whole are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error. However, our audit should not be relied upon to identify all such misstatements.

Engagement and responsibilities summary (continued)



Internal control

Management is responsible for such internal control as management determines is necessary to enable the preparation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error. We are responsible for obtaining an understanding of internal control relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of Committee’s internal control.



Wider scope and Best Value

We are also responsible for reviewing and reporting on the wider scope arrangements that the Committee has in place and its arrangements to secure Best Value. We discuss our approach to wider scope and Best Value work further in the *‘Wider scope and Best Value’* section of this report.

02

Your audit engagement team

Your audit team



Tom Reid

Engagement Director

Email: Tom.Reid@mazars.co.uk

Telephone: +44 (0)7816 354 994



Katie O'Leary

Engagement Manager

Email: Katie.Oleary@mazars.co.uk

Telephone: +44 (0)7815 454 910


We recognise the value you place in understanding you, understanding how you operate and the environment that you operate in. Tom brings that understanding from his experience auditing the Committee previously. Katie is a product of the graduate programme and has been promoted to Audit Manager, she has experience auditing local government organisations.

03

Audit scope, approach, and timeline

Audit scope, approach, and timeline

Risk-based approach



Audit scope, approach, and timeline (continued)

Audit scope

Our audit approach is designed to provide an audit that complies with all professional requirements.

Our audit of the financial statements will be conducted in accordance with International Standards on Auditing (UK), relevant ethical and professional standards, our own audit methodology, and in accordance with the terms of our engagement. Our work is focused on those aspects of your business which we consider to have a higher risk of material misstatement, such as those impacted by management judgement and estimation, application of new accounting standards, changes of accounting policy, changes to operations, or areas found to contain material errors in the past.

Audit approach

Our audit approach is risk-based, and the nature, extent, and timing of our audit procedures are primarily driven by the areas of the financial statements we consider to be more susceptible to material misstatement. Following our risk assessment where we assess the inherent risk factors (subjectivity, complexity, uncertainty, change and susceptibility to misstatement due to management bias or fraud) to aid in our risk assessment, we develop our audit strategy and design audit procedures to respond to the risks we have identified.

If we conclude that appropriately designed controls are in place, we may plan to test and rely on those controls. If we decide controls are not appropriately designed, or we decide that it would be more efficient to do so, we may take a wholly substantive approach to our audit testing where, in our professional judgement, substantive procedures alone will provide sufficient appropriate audit evidence. Substantive procedures are audit procedures designed to detect material misstatements at the assertion level and comprise tests of detail (of classes of transaction, account balances, and disclosures), and substantive analytical procedures. Irrespective of our assessed risks of material misstatement, which takes account of our evaluation of the operating effectiveness of controls, we are required to design and perform substantive procedures for each material class of transaction, account balance, and disclosure.

Our audit has been planned and will be performed to provide reasonable assurance that the financial statements are free from material misstatement and give a true and fair view. The concept of materiality and how we define a misstatement is explained in the '*Materiality and misstatements*' section of this report.

The diagram on the next page outlines the procedures we perform at the different stages of our audit. We have also provided, later in this report, a table setting out the procedures we perform for the significant financial statement areas.

Follow up on deficiencies in internal control

Set out in Appendix A are the deficiencies in internal control that we identified during our prior period audit. We request that management provide an update on the action taken to address these deficiencies.

Audit scope, approach, and timeline



Materiality and misstatements

Materiality and misstatements

Definitions

Materiality is an expression of the relative significance or importance of a particular matter in the context of the financial statements as a whole.

Misstatements in the financial statements are considered to be material if they could, individually or in aggregate, reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of users based on the financial statements.

Materiality

We determine materiality for the financial statements as a whole (overall materiality) using a benchmark that, in our professional judgement, is most appropriate to entity. We also determine an amount less than materiality (performance materiality), which is applied when we carry out our audit procedures and is designed to reduce to an appropriately low level the probability that the aggregate of uncorrected and undetected misstatements exceeds overall materiality. Further, we set a threshold above which all misstatements we identify during our audit (adjusted and unadjusted) will be reported to the Management Committee.

Judgements on materiality are made in light of surrounding circumstances and are affected by the size and nature of a misstatement, or a combination of both. Judgements about materiality are based on a consideration of the common financial information needs of users as a group and not on specific individual users.

An assessment of what is material is a matter of professional judgement and is affected by our perception of the financial information needs of the users of the financial statements. In making our assessment we assume that users:

- Have a reasonable knowledge of business, economic activities, and accounts;
- Have a willingness to study the information in the financial statements with reasonable

diligence;

- Understand that financial statements are prepared, presented, and audited to levels of materiality;
- Recognise the uncertainties inherent in the measurement of amounts based on the use of estimates, judgement, and consideration of future events; and
- Will make reasonable economic decisions based on the information in the financial statements.

We consider overall materiality and performance materiality while planning and performing our audit based on quantitative and qualitative factors.

When planning our audit, we make judgements about the size of misstatements we consider to be material. This provides a basis for our risk assessment procedures, including identifying and assessing the risks of material misstatement, and determining the nature, timing and extent of our responses to those risks.

The overall materiality and performance materiality that we determine does not necessarily mean that uncorrected misstatements that are below materiality, individually or in aggregate, will be considered immaterial.

We revise materiality as our audit progresses should we become aware of information that would have caused us to determine a different amount had we been aware of that information at the planning stage.

Materiality and misstatements (continued)

Materiality (continued)

We consider that is the key focus of users of the financial statements. We have therefore determined our initial materiality levels using gross revenue expenditure at surplus/deficit level as the benchmark.

We expect to set a materiality threshold of 2% of gross revenue expenditure at surplus/deficit level.

As set out in the table below, based on the draft financial statements for year end 31st March 2025, we anticipate overall materiality for the year ended 31 March 2025 to be £14.6k (£13.9k in the prior year), and performance materiality to be £10.2k (£9.7k in the prior year).

We will continue to monitor materiality throughout our audit to ensure it is set at an appropriate level.

The Committee's single-entity financial statements

	2024/25 £	2023/24 £
Overall materiality	14,637	13,946
Performance materiality	10,245	9,762
Clearly trivial	439	418

Materiality and misstatements (continued)

Misstatements

We will accumulate misstatements identified during our audit that are above our determined clearly trivial threshold.

We have set a clearly trivial threshold for individual misstatements we identify (a reporting threshold) for reporting to the management committee and management that is consistent with a threshold where misstatements below that amount would not need to be accumulated because we expect that the accumulation of such amounts would not have a material effect on the financial statements.

Based on our preliminary assessment of overall materiality, our proposed clearly trivial threshold is £439, based on 3% of overall materiality. If you have any queries about this, please raise these with Tom Reid (Engagement Director).

Each misstatement above the reporting threshold that we identify will be classified as:

- **Adjusted:** Those misstatements that we identify and are corrected by management.
- **Unadjusted:** Those misstatements that we identify that are not corrected by management.

We will report all misstatements above the reporting threshold to management and request that they are corrected. If they are not corrected, we will report each misstatement to the management committee as unadjusted misstatements and, if they remain uncorrected, we will communicate the effect that they may have individually, or in aggregate, on our audit opinion.

Misstatements also cover qualitative misstatements and include quantitative and qualitative misstatements and omissions relating to the notes of the financial statements.

Reporting

In summary, we will categorise and report misstatements above the reporting threshold to the management committee as follows:

- Adjusted misstatements;
- Unadjusted misstatements; and
- Disclosure misstatements (adjusted and unadjusted).

Significant risks and other key judgement areas

Significant risks and other key judgement areas

Definitions

Following the risk assessment approach set out in the 'Audit scope, approach, and timeline' section, we have identified the risks of material misstatement in the financial statements. These risks are categorised as significant, enhanced, or standard. The definitions of these risk ratings are set out below.

Risk Level	Definition
Significant	A risk that is assessed as being at or close to the upper end of the spectrum of inherent risk, based on a combination of the likelihood of a misstatement occurring and the magnitude of any potential misstatement. A fraud risk is always assessed as a significant risk (as required by auditing standards), including management override of controls and revenue recognition.
Enhanced	An area with an elevated risk of material misstatement at the assertion level, other than a significant risk, based on factors/ information inherent to that area. Enhanced risks require additional consideration but do not rise to the level of a significant risk. These include but are not limited to: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Key areas of management judgement and estimation uncertainty, including accounting estimates related to material classes of transaction, account balances, and disclosures but which are not considered to give rise to a significant risk of material misstatement; and• Risks relating to other assertions and arising from significant events or transactions that occurred during the period.
Standard	A risk related to assertions over classes of transaction, account balances, and disclosures that are relatively routine, non-complex, tend to be subject to systematic processing, and require little or no management judgement/ estimation. Although it is considered that there is a risk of material misstatement, there are no elevated or special factors related to the nature of the financial statement area, the likely magnitude of potential misstatements, or the likelihood of a risk occurring.

Significant risks and other key judgement areas (continued)

Audit risks and planned responses

In this section, we have set out the risks that we deem to be significant and enhanced, and our planned response. An audit is a dynamic process, and should we change our view of risk and/or our approach to address those risks during our audit, we will report this to Joint Management Committee.

Significant risks

	Risk name	Fraud	Error	Judgement	Risk description	Planned response
1	Management override of controls	Yes	No	Yes	<p>This is a mandatory significant risk on all audits due to the unpredictable way in which such override could occur.</p> <p>Management at various levels within an organisation are in a unique position to perpetrate fraud because of their ability to manipulate accounting records and prepare fraudulent financial statements by overriding controls that otherwise appear to be operating effectively. Due to the unpredictable way in which such override could occur there is a risk of material misstatement due to fraud on all audits.</p>	<p>In line with our methodology, we plan to address the management override of controls risk through performing audit work over:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • accounting estimates: by evaluating the methods and assumptions used by management to develop the estimate; • journal entries: testing journals that meet our fraud risk criteria and other adjustments made in preparing the financial statements; and • significant transactions: considering and testing any significant transactions outside the normal course of business or otherwise unusual.

Significant risks and other key judgement areas (continued)

Other considerations

In consideration of ISA (UK) 260 *Communication with Those Charged with Governance*, we would like to seek the Management Committee's views/ knowledge of the following matters:

- Did you identify any other risks (business, laws & regulation, fraud, going concern etc.) that may result in material misstatements?
- Are you aware of any significant communications between the the Committee and regulators?
- Are there any matters that you consider warrant particular attention during the course of our audit, and any areas where you would like additional procedures to be undertaken?

Significant difficulties encountered during the course of audit

In accordance with ISA (UK) 260 *Communication with Those Charged with Governance*, we are required to communicate certain matters to you which include, but are not limited to, significant difficulties, if any, that are encountered during our audit. Such difficulties may include matters such as:

- Significant delays in management providing information that we require to perform our audit.
- An unnecessarily brief time within which to complete our audit.
- Extensive and unexpected effort to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence.
- Unavailability of expected information.
- Restrictions imposed on us by management.
- Unwillingness by management to make or extend their assessment of an entity's ability to continue as a going concern when requested.

We will highlight to you on a timely basis should we encounter any such difficulties (if our audit process is unduly impeded, this could require us to issue a modified auditor's report).

Internal audit function

We do not expect to use the work of the internal audit function for the purpose of our audit. Nonetheless, we will obtain a copy of the reports issued by internal audit relating to the financial period under audit determine whether any findings will have an impact on our risk assessment and planned audit procedures.

06

Wider scope and Best Value

Wider scope and Best Value

The framework for wider scope work

The Code of Audit Practice sets out the four areas that frame the wider scope of public sector audit. We are required to form a view on the adequacy of the Committee's arrangements in four areas:

1. Financial management
2. Financial sustainability
3. Vision, leadership, and governance
4. Use of resources to improve outcomes

Financial management

Financial management means having sound budgetary processes. Audited bodies require the ability to understand the financial environment and whether internal controls are operating effectively. Auditors consider whether the body has effective arrangements to secure sound financial management.

Financial sustainability

Financial sustainability means being able to meet the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs. Auditors consider the extent to which audited bodies have shown regard to financial sustainability. They look ahead to the medium term (two to five years) and longer term (over five years) to consider whether the body is planning effectively so that it can continue to deliver services.

Vision, leadership and governance

Audited bodies must have a clear vision and strategy, and set priorities for improvement within this vision and strategy. They work together with partners and communities to improve outcomes and foster a culture of innovation. Auditors consider the clarity of plans to implement the vision, strategy and priorities adopted by the leaders of the audited body. They also consider the effectiveness of governance arrangements for delivery.

Use of resources to improve outcomes

Audited bodies need to make best use of their resources to meet stated outcomes and improvement objectives, through effective planning and working with strategic partners and communities. Auditors consider the clarity of the arrangements in place to ensure that resources are deployed to improve strategic outcomes, meet the needs of service users taking account of equalities, and deliver continuous improvements in priority services.

Wider scope and Best Value (continued)

Our approach

Our planned audit work against the four wider scope areas is risk based and proportionate. We need to gather sufficient evidence to support our commentary on the Committee's arrangements and to identify and report on any significant weaknesses. We will carry out more detailed work where we identify significant risks. Where significant weaknesses are identified we will report these to the Committee and make recommendations for improvement. In addition to local risks, we consider challenges that are affecting the public identified.

Best Value

Under the Code of Audit Practice, the audit of Best Value in councils is fully integrated within our annual audit work. We are required to consider the seven Best Value themes which are:

1. Vision and leadership
2. Governance and accountability
3. Effective use of resources
4. Partnerships and collaborative working
5. Working with communities
6. Sustainability
7. Fairness and equality

We are required to consider the Committee's arrangements in respect of the seven Best Value themes to identify any significant risks. Where we identify risks or improvement areas we will plan appropriate coverage over the period of the audit appointment on a risk basis. We have not identified and significant risks planned for coverage in 2024/25. We will report our findings, judgements and improvement actions within our Annual Audit Report.

Wider scope and Best Value (continued)

Wider scope risks

The Code of Audit Practice requires us to consider the significant audit risks in areas defined in the Code as the wider scope audit.

Although we have not fully completed our planning and risk assessment work, we have not identified any wider scope audit risks to date. If we identify any wider scope audit risks as our work progresses, we will report details of them to the Joint Management Committee on completion of our planning and risk identification work.

Less Complex Bodies

The Code of Audit Practice allows an alternative audit approach where an audited body is considered less complex due to its size and limited financial activity. Audit Scotland has prepared guidance on quantitative and qualitative factors to consider in assessing whether a body is less complex. We have reviewed these criteria and concluded that Mugdock Country Park is a less complex body. Our wider scope work will therefore be limited to reviewing the Governance Statement and concluding on the financial sustainability of the Committee and the services it delivers over the medium to longer term.

07

Audit fees and other services

Audit fees and other services

- Our fees (exclusive of disbursements) for the audit of Mugdock Country Park Joint Management Committee's financial statements for the year ended 31 March 2025 are outlined below.

At this stage of the audit, we are not planning any divergence from the expected fees set by Audit Scotland, which is available on the Audit Scotland website: [Audit appointments | Audit Scotland](#)

Area of work	2024-25 Proposed Fee	2023-24 Actual Fee
Auditor remuneration	£17,070	£16,380
Pooled costs	£430	£600
Sectoral cap adjustment	(13,600)	(£13,150)
Total fees	£3,900	£3,830

08

Confirmation of our independence

Confirmation of our independence

Requirements

We comply with the International Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants, including International Independence Standards issued by the International Ethics Standards Board for Accountants together with the ethical requirements that are relevant to our audit of the financial statements in the UK reflected in the ICAEW Code of Ethics and the FRC Revised Ethical Standard.

Compliance

We are not aware of any relationship between Forvis Mazars and Mugdock Country Park Joint Management Committee, that, in our professional judgement, may reasonably be thought to impair our independence.

We are independent of Mugdock Country Park Joint Management Committee and have fulfilled our independence and ethical responsibilities in accordance with the requirements applicable to our audit.

Non-audit and Audit fees

We have set out a summary of the non-audit services provided by Forvis Mazars (with related fees) to Mugdock Country Park Joint Management Committee, together with our audit fees and independence assessment.

We are committed to independence and confirm that we comply with the FRC's Revised Ethical Standard. In addition, we have set out in this section any matters or relationships we believe may have a bearing on our independence or the objectivity of our audit team.

Based on the information provided by you and our own internal procedures to safeguard our independence as auditors, we confirm that in our professional judgement there are no relationships between us and any of our related or subsidiary entities, and you and your related entities, that create any unacceptable threats to our independence within the regulatory or professional requirements governing us as your auditors.

We have policies and procedures in place that are designed to ensure that we carry out our work with integrity, objectivity, and independence. These policies include:

- All partners and staff are required to complete an annual independence declaration.
- All new partners and staff are required to complete an independence confirmation and complete annual ethical training.
- Rotation policies covering audit engagement partners and other key members of the audit team.
- Use by managers and partners of our client and engagement acceptance system, which requires all non-audit services to be approved in advance by the audit engagement partner.

We confirm, as at the date of this report, that the engagement team and others in the firm as appropriate, Forvis Mazars LLP are independent and comply with relevant ethical requirements. However, if at any time you have concerns or questions about our integrity, objectivity or independence, please discuss these with me in the first instance

Confirmation of our independence

Prior to the provision of any non-audit services, I will undertake appropriate procedures to consider and fully assess the impact that providing the service may have on our independence as auditor.

Principal threats to our independence and the associated safeguards we have identified and/ or put in place are set out in Framework Agreement issued by Audit Scotland available from the Audit Scotland website: [Audit Scotland Framework Agreement \(audit-scotland.gov.uk\)](https://www.audit-scotland.gov.uk). Any emerging independence threats and associated identified safeguards will be communicated in our Annual Audit Report.

Any emerging independence threats and associated safeguards we identify or put in place will be communicated to you in our Annual Audit Report.

Appendices

A: Internal Control Deficiencies

B: Key communication points

Appendix A: Internal control deficiencies

Significant deficiencies in internal control

A significant deficiency in internal control is one which, in our professional judgement, has the potential for financial loss, damage to reputation, or a loss of information which may have implications on the achievement of business strategic objectives. We did not identify any significant deficiencies in the Committee's internal controls in the prior year.

Other observations

We also record our observations on the Committee's internal controls where, in our professional judgement, there is a need to strengthen internal control or enhance business efficiency that do not constitute significant deficiencies in internal control but which we view as being important for consideration by management.

Our other internal control observations are set out on the following page.

Appendix A: Internal control deficiencies

1. Reconciliation of Shop Trading Account working papers to the General Ledger – 2023/24 - Open

Description of deficiency

The working papers provided do not easily tie to the amounts recorded in the general ledger.

Potential effects

Incomplete or unclear working papers could result in misstatements in the shop trading account.

Recommendation

Management should ensure that sufficient and appropriate evidence can be provided which can tie the payments to the shop trading account.

Management response in 2023/24

Management within Mugdock Country Park are looking at options to improve the shop trading account this will include a clear facility to reconcile income to the general ledger. This will be dependent on decisions taken at the Budget and suitable income identified to offset additional expenditure.

Responsible officer: Team Leader Mugdock Country Park

Implementation date: March 2026 (for Budget Discussions and implementation thereafter depending on suitable budget provision).

2. Reconciliation of Shop Trading Account working papers to the General Ledger – 2022/23 - Open

Description of deficiency

Officers could not provide declaration of interest forms for Committee members and senior officers of East Dunbartonshire Council and Stirling Council in respect of Mugdock Country Park Joint Management Committee.

Potential effects

Failure to review the declaration of interest may result in conflicts of interest going undisclosed leading to incorrect or incomplete disclosure of related party transactions.

Recommendation

Officers should implement procedures to review disclosure of related party interests in respect of Mugdock Country Park and ensure the register is regularly updated for all relevant individuals.

Management response in 2023/24

As noted in 2022/23 Members Registers of interests are only updated, in-year, when a declaration is made with the register held centrally by the Council's Chief Solicitor and Monitoring Officer. Initial declarations are only required immediately after Local Government Elections and/or where there is a subsequent change. Registers of Interests for Members from Stirling are available online whilst those from East Dunbartonshire are intended to be uploaded following a change to the Council's webpage although for both Councils declarations are sought and documented in the minutes at the start of all Council meetings. It is intended that Annual Senior Officer declarations will be passed to Auditors in due course and as part of the finalisation process for the Council's annual accounts.

Responsible officer: Chief Solicitor and Monitoring Officer

Implementation date: March 2026

Appendix B: Key communication points

We value communication with you, as a two-way feedback process is at the heart of our client service commitment. ISA (UK) 260 Communication with Those Charged with Governance and ISA (UK) 265 Communicating Deficiencies In Internal Control To Those Charged With Governance And Management specifically require us to communicate a number of points with you.

Relevant points that need to be communicated with you at each stage of the audit are outlined below.

Form, timing and content of our communications

We will present the following reports:

- Our Annual Audit Plan in March 2026;
- Our Annual Audit Report in June 2026;
- Our independent auditor's report in June 2026; and

These documents will be discussed with management prior to being presented to yourselves and their comments will be incorporated as appropriate.

Key communication points at the planning stage as included in this Annual Audit Plan

Our responsibilities in relation to the audit of the financial statements;

- The planned scope and timing of the audit;
- Significant audit risks and areas of management judgement;
- Our commitment to independence;
- Responsibilities for preventing and detecting errors;
- Materiality and misstatements; and
- Fees for audit and other services.

Key communication points at the completion stage to be included in our Annual Audit Report

- Significant deficiencies in internal control;
- Significant findings from the audit;
- Significant matters discussed with management;
- Significant difficulties, if any, encountered during the audit;
- Qualitative aspects of the entity's accounting practices, including accounting policies, accounting estimates and financial statement disclosures;
- Our conclusions on the significant audit risks and areas of management judgement;
- Summary of misstatements;
- Management representation letter;
- Our proposed draft audit report; and
- Independence.

Appendix B: Key communication points

ISA (UK) 260 Communication with Those Charged with Governance, ISA (UK) 265 Communicating Deficiencies In Internal Control To Those Charged With Governance And Management and other ISAs (UK) specifically require us to communicate the following:

Required communication	Where addressed
Our responsibilities in relation to the financial statement audit and those of management and Those Charged with Governance.	Annual Audit Plan
The planned scope and timing of the audit including any limitations, specifically including with respect to significant risks.	Annual Audit Plan
With respect to misstatements: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Uncorrected misstatements and their effect on our audit opinion; • The effect of uncorrected misstatements related to prior periods; • A request that any uncorrected misstatement is corrected; and • In writing, corrected misstatements that are significant. 	Annual Audit Report
With respect to fraud communications: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Inquiries with the Joint Management Committee to determine whether you have knowledge of any actual, suspected, or alleged fraud affecting the entity; • Any fraud that we have identified or information we have obtained that indicates that fraud may exist; and • A discussion of any other matters related to fraud. 	Annual Audit Report and discussion at Joint Management Committee Audit planning and clearance meetings
Where relevant, any issues identified with respect to authority to obtain external confirmations or inability to obtain relevant and reliable audit evidence from other procedures.	Annual Audit Report

Appendix B: Key communication points (continued)

Required communication	Where addressed
<p>Significant matters arising during the audit in connection with the entity’s related parties including, when applicable:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Non-disclosure by management; • Inappropriate authorisation and approval of transactions; • Disagreement over disclosures; • Non-compliance with laws and regulations; and • Difficulty in identifying the party that ultimately controls the entity. 	Annual Audit Report
<p>Significant findings from the audit including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Our view about the significant qualitative aspects of accounting practices including accounting policies, accounting estimates and financial statement disclosures; • Significant difficulties, if any, encountered during the audit; • Significant matters, if any, arising from the audit that were discussed with management or were the subject of correspondence with management; • Written representations that we are seeking; • Expected modifications to the audit report; and • Other matters, if any, significant to the oversight of the financial reporting process or otherwise identified in the course of the audit that we believe will be relevant to the Joint Management Committee in the context of fulfilling their responsibilities. 	Annual Audit Report
Significant deficiencies in internal controls identified during the audit.	Annual Audit Report

Appendix B: Key communication points (continued)

Required communication	Where addressed
<p>Audit findings regarding non-compliance with laws and regulations where the non-compliance is material and believed to be intentional (subject to compliance with legislation on tipping off) and inquiry of the Joint Management Committee into possible instances of non-compliance with laws and regulations that may have a material effect on the financial statements that the Joint Management Committee may be aware of.</p>	<p>Annual Audit Report and Joint Management Committee meetings</p>
<p>With respect to going concern, events or conditions identified that may cast significant doubt on the entity's ability to continue as a going concern, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Whether the events or conditions constitute a material uncertainty; • Whether the use of the going concern assumption is appropriate in the preparation and presentation of the financial statements; and • The adequacy of related disclosures in the financial statements. 	<p>Annual Audit Report</p>
<p>Communication regarding our system of quality management, compliant with ISQM (UK) 1, developed to support the consistent performance of quality audit engagements. To address the requirements of ISQM (UK) 1, our firm's System of Quality Management team completes, as part of an ongoing and iterative process, a number of key steps to assess and conclude on our firm's System of Quality Management:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ensure there is an appropriate assignment of responsibilities under ISQM (UK) 1 and across Leadership • Establish and review quality objectives each year, ensuring ISQM (UK) 1 objectives align with the firm's strategies and priorities • Identify, review, and update quality risks each quarter, taking into consideration the number of input sources (such as FRC / ICAEW review findings, internal monitoring findings, findings from our firm's root cause analysis and remediation functions, etc.) • Identify, design, and implement responses as part of the process to strengthen our firm's internal control environment and overall quality • Evaluate responses and remediate control gaps or deficiencies <p>We perform an evaluation of our system of quality management on an annual basis. Our Transparency Reports are available on our website here.</p>	<p>Annual Audit Plan</p>

Contact

Forvis Mazars

Tom Reid

Engagement Director

Tom.Reid@mazars.co.uk

+44 (0)7816 354 994

Forvis Mazars LLP is the UK firm of Forvis Mazars Global, a leading global professional services network. Forvis Mazars LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales with registered number OC308299 and with its registered office at 30 Old Bailey, London, EC4M 7AU. Registered to carry on audit work in the UK by the Institute of Chartered Accountants in England and Wales. Details about our audit registration can be viewed at www.auditregister.org.uk under reference number C001139861. VAT number: GB 839 8356 73

© Forvis Mazars 2024. All rights reserved.