# Glasgow and the Clyde Valley Strategic Development Planning Authority 2018/19 Annual Audit Report

2010/15 Annual Audit Report

## **VAUDIT** SCOTLAND

Prepared for the Members of the Glasgow and the Clyde Valley Strategic Development Planning Authority Joint Committee and the Controller of Audit 7 October 2019

### Who we are

The Auditor General, the Accounts Commission and Audit Scotland work together to deliver public audit in Scotland:

- The Auditor General is an independent crown appointment, made on the recommendation of the Scottish Parliament, to audit the Scottish Government, NHS and other bodies and report to Parliament on their financial health and performance.
- The Accounts Commission is an independent public body appointed by Scottish ministers to hold local government to account. The Controller of Audit is an independent post established by statute, with powers to report directly to the Commission on the audit of local government.
- Audit Scotland is governed by a board, consisting of the Auditor General, the chair of the Accounts Commission, a non-executive board chair, and two non-executive members appointed by the Scottish Commission for Public Audit, a commission of the Scottish Parliament.



### About us

Our vision is to be a world-class audit organisation that improves the use of public money.

Through our work for the Auditor General and the Accounts Commission, we provide independent assurance to the people of Scotland that public money is spent properly and provides value. We aim to achieve this by:

- carrying out relevant and timely audits of the way the public sector manages and spends money
- reporting our findings and conclusions in public
- identifying risks, making clear and relevant recommendations.

# Contents

Key messages	4
Introduction	5
Part 1 Audit of 2018/19 annual report and accounts	7
Part 2 Financial sustainability	13
Appendix 1 Action plan 2018/19	15
Appendix 2 Significant audit risks identified during planning	16
Appendix 3 Summary of national performance reports 2018/19	19

# Key messages

### 2018/19 annual report and accounts

- **1** Glasgow and the Clyde Valley Strategic Development Planning Authority's financial statements give a true and fair view and were properly prepared.
- 2 An adjustment to pension disclosures and balances was required as a result of a legal judgement. This resulted in an increase of £59,000 to the pension fund liability reported in the Balance Sheet.
- **3** Glasgow and the Clyde Valley Strategic Development Planning Authority's 2018/19 unaudited accounts were consolidated to reflect all transactions and balances for the Green Network Partnership. This was a change in accounting treatment with the objective of streamlining the accounts; the Green Network Partnership was disclosed as a note within the financial statements in prior years. It was concluded that there was no accounting basis for this change and that the consolidation should be reversed in the audited accounts.
- 4 The Management Commentary, the Governance Statement, and the audited part of the Remuneration Report were all consistent with the financial statements and properly prepared in accordance with the applicable requirements.

### **Financial sustainability**

- **5** A surplus of £33,978 was delivered against a budgeted breakeven position. This surplus was taken to usable reserves.
- 6 The 2019/20 budget is projecting a funding gap of £15,800. Management intend to mitigate this through the planned use of reserves.

# Introduction

**1.** This report summarises the findings from our 2018/19 audit of Glasgow and the Clyde Valley Strategic Development Planning Authority (Clydeplan).

**2.** The scope of our audit was set out in our <u>Annual Audit Plan</u> presented to the 11 March 2019 meeting of the Joint Committee. This report comprises the findings from:

- an audit of Clydeplan's annual accounts
- consideration of the financial sustainability and Governance Statement.

### Adding value through the audit

3. We add value to the Clydeplan through the audit by:

- identifying and providing insight on significant risks, and making clear and relevant recommendations for improvements
- reporting our findings and conclusions in public
- sharing intelligence and good practice through our national reports (Appendix 3) and good practice guides
- providing clear conclusions on the appropriateness, effectiveness and impact of corporate governance and financial sustainability.

**4.** In so doing, we aim to help Clydeplan promote improved standards of governance, better management and decision making and more effective use of resources.

### **Responsibilities and reporting**

**5.** Clydeplan has primary responsibility for ensuring the proper financial stewardship of public funds. This includes preparing annual accounts that are in accordance with proper accounting practices. Clydeplan is also responsible for compliance with legislation putting arrangements in place for governance, propriety and regularity that enable it to successfully deliver its objectives.

6. Our responsibilities as independent auditor appointed by the Accounts Commission are established by the Local Government in Scotland Act 1973, the <u>Code of Audit Practice (2016)</u> (the Code) and supplementary guidance and International Standards on Auditing in the UK.

**7.** As public-sector auditors we give independent opinions on the annual accounts and conclude on securing financial sustainability and appropriateness of the Governance Statement disclosures. In doing this, we aim to support improvement and accountability.

**8.** The Code includes provisions relating to the audit of small bodies. Where the application of the full wider audit scope is judged by auditors not to be appropriate to an audited body then the annual audit work can focus on the appropriateness of the disclosures in the Governance Statement and the financial sustainability of the body and its services. As highlighted in our 2018/19 Annual Audit Plan, due to the

volume and lack of complexity of the financial transactions, we applied the small body provisions of the Code to the 2018/19 audit.

**9.** The weaknesses or risks identified are only those which have come to our attention during our normal audit work and may not be all that exist. Communicating these does not absolve management from its responsibility to address the issues we raise and to maintain adequate systems of control.

**10.** Our Annual Audit Report contains an agreed action plan at <u>Appendix 1</u> setting out specific recommendations, responsible officers and dates for implementation.

**11.** We confirm that we comply with the Financial Reporting Council's Ethical Standard and we have not undertaken any non-audit related services. We are not aware of any relationships that could compromise our objectivity and independence.

**12.** An audit fee of £2,900 was set in our Annual Audit Plan. However, additional audit work was required due to issues around the accounting treatment of the Green Network Partnership (GNP) balances and transactions. As a result, the audit fee was increased to £3,625, however, the additional costs will be borne by Renfrewshire Council.

**13.** This report is addressed to both the Joint Committee and the Controller of Audit and will be published on Audit Scotland's website <u>www.audit-scotland.gov.uk</u> in due course.

**14.** We would like to thank the management and staff for their cooperation and assistance during the audit.

## Part 1 Audit of 2018/19 annual report and accounts



### Main judgements

Clydeplan's financial statements give a true and fair view and were properly prepared.

An adjustment to pensions disclosures and balances was required as a result of a legal judgement. This resulted in an increase of £59,000 to the pension fund liability reported in the Balance Sheet.

Clydeplan's unaudited accounts were consolidated to reflect all transactions and balances for Green Network Partnership which were previously disclosed in a note within the financial statements. It was concluded that there was no accounting basis for this change and that the consolidation should be reversed in the audited accounts.

The Management Commentary, the Governance Statement, and the audited part of the Remuneration Report were all consistent with the financial statements and properly prepared in accordance with the applicable requirements.

The annual accounts are the principal means of accounting for the stewardship of its resources and its performance in the use of those resources.

### Audit opinions on the annual accounts

**15.** The annual accounts for the year ended 31 March 2019 were due to be approved by the Joint Committee on 9 September 2019. However, the audited annual accounts were not available for consideration at this meeting. This was due to additional changes and audit work being required as a result of the accounting treatment for Green Network Partnership (GNP).

**16.** A special meeting of the Joint Committee was called for 7 October 2019 and the annual accounts were approved by members. The statutory deadline for approval and certification of the annual accounts is 30 September 2019. As the annual accounts were approved on 7 October 2019, this statutory deadline was not met.

**17.** We reported, within the independent auditor's report that:

- the financial statements give a true and fair view and were properly prepared
- the Management Commentary, the Governance Statement, and the audited part of the Remuneration Report, were all consistent with the financial statements and properly prepared in accordance with the applicable requirements
- we have nothing to report in respect of those matters which we are required by the Accounts Commission to report by exception.

### Submission of annual accounts for audit

**18.** We received the unaudited annual accounts on 10 June 2019 in line with our agreed audit timetable. Following the agreement of initial audit findings at the end of August regarding issues identified with the accounting treatment of GNP, a revised version of the annual accounts was received for additional audit testing on 5 September 2019.

**19.** The Local Authority Accounts (Scotland) Regulations 2014 required Clydeplan to give public notice for inspection and objection of the 2018/19 unaudited annual report and accounts by 17 June 2019. The public notice was published on the Clydeplan website on 26 June 2019 and in a newspaper on 28 June 2019, meaning this deadline was not met.

### **Risks of material misstatement**

**20.** <u>Appendix 2</u> provides a description of those assessed risks of material misstatement in the annual accounts and any wider audit dimension risks that were identified during the audit planning process. It also summarises the work we have done to gain assurance over the outcome of these risks.

**21.** We have no issues to report from our work on the risks of material misstatement highlighted in our 2018/19 Annual Audit Plan.

### **Materiality**

**22.** Misstatements are material if they could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of users taken based on the financial statements. When deciding on what is material, we consider both the amount and nature of the misstatement.

**23.** Our initial assessment of materiality for the annual accounts was carried out during the planning phase of the audit and is summarised in <u>Exhibit 1</u>. With regards to the annual accounts, we assess the materiality of uncorrected misstatements both individually and collectively.

**24.** On receipt of the unaudited annual report and accounts we reviewed our materiality calculations as detailed in Exhibit 1.

### Exhibit 1 Materiality values

Materiality level	Amount
Overall materiality	£7,700
Performance materiality	£4,600
Reporting threshold	£1,000
Source: Audit Scotland	

### Significant findings from the audit (ISA 260)

**25.** International Standard on Auditing (UK) 260 requires us to communicate significant findings from the audit to those charged with governance, including our view about the qualitative aspects of the body's accounting practices covering

accounting policies, accounting estimates and financial statements disclosures. The significant findings are summarised in <u>Exhibit 2</u>.

**26.** We have no significant findings to report around the qualitative aspects of the 2018/19 accounting practices.

### **Exhibit 2** Significant findings from the audit of the financial statements

Finding	Resolution
<ul> <li><b>1. Capital accounting</b></li> <li>We recommended in our <u>2017/18 Annual Audit Report</u> that management review how capital expenditure is reflected in the financial statements. This was discussed further with management as part of the 2018/19 audit and it was agreed that capital expenditure would be reflected in the financial statements to reflect the substance of arrangements rather than just their legal form.</li> <li>This change resulted in new accounting policies for property, plant, and equipment (PPE) and intangible assets being</li> </ul>	From our work, we concluded that Clydeplan do not have any PPE or intangible assets which require capitalisation. However, it can be noted that the 2018/19 accounts have been fully updated to reflect this change in accounting policy.
included in the financial statements	
<b>2. Reserves accounting</b> We recommended in our 2017/18 Annual Audit Report that management review how reserves are reflected in the financial statements. This was discussed further with management as part of the 2018/19 audit and it was agreed that the accounting treatment for reserves would be updated to reflect the substance of arrangements rather than just their legal form.	The audit recommendation has been fully implemented by management within the 2018/19 financial statements.
This change resulted in a revenue reserve balance being recognised in the financial statements at the year end. Prior year comparatives were also restated to reflect the update accounting treatment. The revenue reserve balance reflects accumulated surpluses that have been generated in previous years which are available to Clydeplan.	
3. Pension fund liability legal judgement	The financial statements were updated to
Legal cases were brought against the UK government regarding the transition arrangements made in each of the pensions schemes for judges and firefighters. These arrangements came into effect as part of the move from a Final Salary Pension Scheme to a Career Average Pension Scheme in 2015 and included certain protections for active members of the schemes.	reflect the impact of the legal judgement on the pension fund liability.
The claimants successfully argued, in the resultant Employment Tribunal hearing, that some elements of the protection measures were unlawful on the grounds of age discrimination. The Employment Tribunal's ruling on this matter was upheld by the Supreme Court in June 2019.	

As this would have an impact on the transition arrangements of all government backed pension schemes, the council instructed, on behalf of Clydeplan, the actuary to the Strathclyde Pension Fund to quantify the impact on Clydeplan's pension fund liability. The actuary estimated that

Finding	Resolution	
the impact from this case is to increase Clydeplan's pension fund liability by £59,000.		
The impact of this adjustment resulted in a £59,000 increase to the pension fund liability reported in the Balance Sheet from £0.527 million to £0.586 million, with a matching increase in the unusable pension reserve. This also results in a £58,000 increase in the pension cost charge incorporated within the Comprehensive Income and Expenditure Statement in the annual accounts. As these adjustments are fully mitigated by a statutory adjustment, they have no impact on the £33,978 surplus reported in the revenue reserve balance in the unaudited annual accounts.		
4. Provision for dilapidation costs	The financial statements were updated to	
The lease for Clydeplan's office in West Regent Street is due to expire on 26 October 2019. Management commissioned Renfrewshire Council's Property Services to carry out a review of potential dilapidation cost. This estimated Clydeplan would incur costs of around £28,500 plus VAT.	reflect the estimated dilapidation costs.	
These costs are yet to be incurred by Clydeplan. However, International Accounting Standard 37 – provisions, contingent liability and contingent assets (IAS 37) requires a liability to be recognised when there is a present obligation from past events that will result in an outflow of resources and the costs can be reliably estimated. These criteria were considered to have been met when the estimate of dilapidations costs was carried out and therefore reflected within the 2018/19 annual accounts.		
Dilapidation costs had not been recognised in the unaudited annual accounts and the impact of this adjustment resulted in a £28,500 increase in current liabilities reported in the Balance Sheet from £251,297 to £279,797. This also resulted in an increase of £28,500 in premises costs in the Comprehensive Income and Expenditure Statement.		
5. Cashflow Statement	The financial statements were updated to	
As part of audit work during the year, we had discussions with management on the presentation of the financial statements and the requirement for a Cashflow Statement. Clydeplan does not have a bank account and all income and expenditure transactions are processed through Renfrewshire Council's (as the administering authority) bank account. No cash was held by Clydeplan at the year end.	remove the Cashflow Statement.	
As a result, it was agreed that the Cashflow Statement could be removed as this statement should only reflect cash transactions processed by Clydeplan, of which there were none. The Cashflow Statement was removed in the unaudited annual accounts considered by the Joint Committee in June 2019.		
6. Accounting treatment for GNP	The annual accounts were updated to	
The unaudited annual accounts were consolidated to reflect all transactions and balances for GNP. GNP transactions and balances were previously disclosed in a note to the accounts	remove GNP transactions and balances and a note was included which disclosed GNP's performance for the year.	

balances were previously disclosed in a note to the accounts and not consolidated within Clydeplan's financial statements. The change in accounting treatment was discussed with management and it was concluded there was no accounting basis for this change. There had been no significant changes

We also recommend management review the Terms of Reference and governance documents for GNP to clarify the structure of the organisation.

Finding	Resolution
to accounting standards or the governance of GNP which would require a change in accounting treatment, and management explained the change had been made to streamline the accounting process.	Recommendation 1 (Appendix 1, action plan)
As there was no accounting basis for this change, we requested that the transactions and balances for GNP were removed from Clydeplan's financial statements and reported as a note. This resulted in material changes to all of the primary statements and a number of notes and an updated version of the annual accounts was provided with GNP transactions and balances removed.	
Additional audit work was required as a result of this change and as outlined in paragraph 12, this resulted in an increase to the audit fee. The delay to the completion of the audit meant the statutory deadline for approving and certifying the annual accounts was not achieved.	
As part of our work to review the change in accounting treatment, we considered the Terms of Reference and other governance documents for GNP. The lack of detail contained within these documents made it difficult to assess and conclude on the appropriate accounting requirements.	

Source: Audit Scotland

#### How we evaluate misstatements

**27.** It is our responsibility to request that all misstatements, other than those below the reporting threshold, are corrected. The final decision on this lies with those charged with governance considering advice from senior officers and materiality. There were three material adjustment to the unaudited financial statements which are outlined in Exhibit 2. There were no other errors above the reporting threshold.

### Follow up of prior year recommendations

**28.** We have followed up the action previously reported and assessed progress made. One agreed action was raised in 2017/18 and has been fully actioned.

**29.** As detailed in Exhibit 2, during the 2017/18 audit, we noted that Clydeplan had incurred expenditure which was capital in nature. The financial statements disclosed that Clydeplan did not have the power to hold assets, therefore any capital expenditure was treated as revenue expenditure.

**30.** A similar disclosure issue was identified in respect of Clydeplan's reserves. The financial statements outlined that the Joint Committee had no specific powers to hold reserves, therefore reserves were transferred to creditors. Any reserves that have been built up will ultimately be returned to the member councils upon the cessation of Clydeplan or when the Joint Committee decides to reimburse the reserves to member councils.

**31.** The Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom states that for financial statements to be useful, they must provide a faithful representation of financial information with the substance of a transaction/process being reported as opposed to its legal form.

**32.** We recommended that management should review how capital expenditure and reserves were disclosed within the financial statements.

**33.** For the 2018/19 financial statements, management have revised the accounting treatment and now recognise assets and reserves within the financial statements. As detailed in Exhibit 2, we concluded that Clydeplan do not have any PPE or intangible assets which require capitalisation. However, management have implemented a policy that will result in capital expenditure being capitalised in future if requirements are met. The balance sheet has been restated to reflect the impact of the change in the reserves accounting treatment.

## Part 2 Financial sustainability



### Main judgements

Clydeplan achieved a surplus of £34,000 in 2018/19. This surplus was taken to usable reserves.

The 2019/20 budget is projecting a funding gap of £15,800. Management intend to mitigate this through planned use of reserves.

Financial sustainability looks forward to the medium and longer term to consider whether the body is planning effectively to continue to deliver its services or the way in which they should be delivered.

### Financial performance in 2018/19

**34.** The Joint Committee approved the 2018/19 budget in December 2017. Total budgeted expenditure was  $\pounds 0.618$  million and total budgeted income was  $\pounds 0.599$  million. This resulted in a budget gap of  $\pounds 19,000$  that management planned to meet through efficiency savings or the use of reserves.

**35.** Actual outturn in 2018/19 was total expenditure of £0.652 million and total income of £0.686 million, resulting in a surplus of £34,000. The main factors for the overspend in expenditure compared to budget is due to the provision for dilapidation costs and salary costs for backfilling seconded posts. The over recovery of income compared to budget is due to additional secondment income from the City Region Team at Glasgow City Council.

### Short term financial planning

**36.** The largest source of income for Clydeplan is requisitions from members of the Joint Committee. Requisitions have remained constant for 2017/18 and 2018/19 at  $\pm 0.580$  million. A small proportion of income is also generated from services provided to the GNP.

**37.** The Joint Committee approved the 2019/20 budget in December 2018. Total budgeted expenditure was  $\pounds 0.634$  million and total budgeted income was  $\pounds 0.618$  million. This resulted in a budget gap of £15,800 that is to be addressed through the use of reserves. Requisition income remained unchanged at £0.580 million.

### Medium to long term financial planning

**38.** We reviewed the financial planning systems and assessed how effective they are in identifying and addressing risks to financial sustainability across the medium and long term.

**39.** As part of the budget setting process, revenue estimates are prepared for a three year period. This allows management and members to identify pressures and take early actions to mitigate against these pressures.

**40.** As outlined in paragraph 37, the 2019/20 budget identifies a budget gap of  $\pounds$ 15,800. The budget also forecasts a budget gap in 2020/21 and 2021/22 of  $\pounds$ 30,100 and  $\pounds$ 44,100 respectively. These are also expected to be addressed

through efficiency savings or the use of reserves. The main driver of the budget gap is increased employee costs. With the removal of the cap on public sector pay, there is more uncertainty around employee costs each year.

**41.** We reported in 2016/17 and 2017/18 about the uncertainties created by the Planning (Scotland) Bill. The Bill has been progressing through the Parliamentary process with proposed changes to the Scottish planning system which will have an impact on the future of Clydeplan. On 25 July 2019, the Planning Bill officially received Royal Assent and became the Planning (Scotland) Act 2019. The Act repeals the provisions requiring the preparation of Strategic Development Plans which are being replaced by provisions for two or more planning authorities acting jointly to prepare Regional Spatial Strategies.

**42.** From the work carried out, we have concluded that Clydeplan has adequate financial planning arrangements in place. Cost pressures are expected to arise in the medium term, mainly due to employee costs. However, the financial planning arrangements in place have allowed management to plan for these pressures and ensure necessary actions are taken when required.

### **Governance Statement**

**43.** Our review of the Governance Statement assessed the assurances which are provided to the Strategic Development Plan Manager regarding the adequacy and effectiveness of the committee's system of internal control which operated in the financial year. The statement also summarises internal audit findings with the Chief Internal Auditor's annual assurance statement concluding 'that a reasonable level of assurance can be placed upon the adequacy and effectiveness of the Glasgow and the Clyde Valley Strategic Development Planning Authority's internal control, risk management and governance arrangements'.

**44.** We concluded that the information in the Governance Statement is consistent with the financial statements and complies with the guidance issued by the Scottish Ministers.

### **EU Withdrawal**

**45.** There remains significant uncertainty surrounding the terms of the UK's withdrawal from the European Union (EU). EU withdrawal will inevitably have implications for devolved government in Scotland and for audited bodies. It is critical that public sector bodies are working to understand, assess and prepare for the impact on their business in three broad areas:

- workforce the extent to which potential changes to migration are likely to affect the availability of the people and skills needed to deliver services
- funding the extent to which potential changes to existing EU funding programmes are likely to affect the finances of public bodies and the activity that such funding supports
- regulation the extent to which potential changes to EU regulations are likely to affect the activities of some public bodies.

**46.** Renfrewshire Council is the lead authority of Clydeplan. As a result, Clydeplan is expected to follow and reflect the work done by the council in preparation for EU withdrawal. As such, assurances can be taken over the work being done at Renfrewshire Council which was considered to be appropriate.

# Appendix 1 Action plan 2018/19



No. Issue/risk

# Recommendation

#### 1 GNP Terms of Reference and governance documents

We noted that the GNP terms of Reference and other governance documents were high level.

The lack of detail contained within these documents made it difficult to assess and conclude on the appropriate accounting requirements.

#### Risk

There is a risk that the accounting treatment of GNP may not be appropriate due to difficulties in assessing the structure of GNP.

It is recommended management review and clarify the Terms of Reference and governance documents for GNP.



## Agreed management action/timing

### Management response:

A review of the Terms of Reference and governance arrangements will be undertaken over the next few months by the SDP Manager and the Clerk.

Responsible officer: Clerk and SDP Manager

Target date: 31 March 2020

# Appendix 2

Significant audit risks identified during planning

Assurance procedure

**Results and conclusions** 

The table below sets out the audit risks we identified during our planning of the audit and how we addressed each risk in arriving at our conclusion. The risks are categorised between those where there is a risk of material misstatement in the annual report and accounts and those relating our wider responsibility under the <u>Code of Audit Practice 2016</u>.

Risks of material misstatement in the financial statements					
1	Risk of management override of controls ISA 240 requires that audit	Detailed testing of journal entries. Review of accounting	No unusual or inappropriate transactions were identified as part of our detailed journal testing.		
	work is planned to consider the risk of fraud, which is presumed to be a significant risk in any	edFocused testing of accruals and prepayments.did not show any ex bias.Evaluation of significant transactions that are outside the normal courseFocused testing of a bias.nof business.Focused testing of a bias.	A review of accounting estimates did not show any evidence of bias.		
	audit. This includes consideration of the risk of management override of controls to change the position disclosed in the financial		transactions that are prepayments did balances that we	transactions that are outside the normal course balances that were inco	transactions that are outside the normal course balances that the
	statements.		Focused testing on a sample of transactions outside the normal course of business did not show any evidence of management override of controls.		
			Conclusion: no evidence of management override of controls.		
2	<b>Risk of fraud over income</b> The majority of Clydeplan's income is in the form of requisitons from member	Analytical procedures on income streams. Detailed testing of income transactions focusing on the areas of greatest risk.	Analytical procedures were carried out over all income streams and satisfactory responses were provided for all significant variances.		
	authorities. However, a material proportion is generated from other sources. The extent of income means that, in accordance with ISA 240, there is an inherent risk of fraud.		Detailed testing of revenue transactions confirmed these were normal business transactions and had been accounted for in the correct year. An error was identified in how project income is reported which resulted in income being understated. However, this was corrected by management.		
			Conclusion: no evidence of fraud over income.		
3	Risk of fraud over expenditure	Analytical procedures on expenditure streams.	Analytical procedures were carried out over all expenditure streams and satisfactory		

**Audit risk** 

Αu	ıdit risk	Assurance procedure	Results and conclusions
	Most public sector bodies are net expenditure bodies and therefore the risk of fraud is more likely to occur in expenditure. The Code of Audit Practice expands the consideration of fraud under ISA 240 to include the risk of fraud over expenditure. This applies to Clydeplan due to the variety and extent of expenditure incurred in delivering services.	Detailed testing of expenditure transactions focusing on the areas of greatest risk.	responses were provided for all significant variances. Detailed testing of expenditure transactions confirmed these were normal business transactions and had been accounted for in the correct year <b>Conclusion: no evidence of</b> <b>fraud over expenditure.</b>
4	Estimates and Judgements There is a significant degree of subjectivity in the measurement and valuation of the material account area of pensions. Clydeplan's net liability relating to the Strathclyde Pension Fund at 31 March 2018 was £0.193 million. This value is an estimate based on a number of assumptions from the pension fund actuary. This subjectivity represents an increased risk of material misstatement in the financial statements.	Review of the pension actuary and the assumptions made in calculating the estimated pension liability.	A review of the actuary and the assumptions made in calculating the estimated pension liability wa carried out and found the approach and assumptions used by the actuary to be reasonable and in line with expectation. <b>Conclusion: the estimates and judgements made to calculate the net pension liability were reasonable.</b>
5	New accounting system Renfrewshire Council implemented an enterprise resource planning system (Business World), in October 2018 which combines finance, HR, payroll and procurement into a single integrated application. The implementation of Business World during the 2018/19 financial year means that the annual accounts will be prepared using information recorded both before and after the change in system, under two different control environments. This introduces additional risks:	Gaining an understanding of Business World by reviewing process maps and through discussions with key officers. Detailed testing of significant transactions processed during the change period and rolled forward balances. Review of account/cost code mapping from legacy system to Business World. The work outlined above will be completed as part of the Renfrewshire Council audit and the Clydeplan audit team will take assurances from that work.	As part of work on the Renfrewshire Council audit, a review of the process maps and the operation of the key systems was carried out. This was reported in the 2018/19 Management Report for Renfrewshire Council. Detailed testing of transactions processed during the change period and rolled forward balances did not identify any issues. A review of account code/cost code mapping from the legacy system to Business World did not identify any issues. <b>Conclusion: audit work carried out did not identify any issues in the roll forward of balances</b>
			out did not identify any is

Data may not be correctly transferred to the new system

### Audit risk

Assurance procedure

**Results and conclusions** 

 Differences in the new system may make accounts preparation more challenging

The overall impact of the move to Business World is an increased risk of material misstatement in the annual accounts. This affects Clydeplan as Renfrewshire Council is the host authority and Clydeplan uses its accounting system.

### Risks identified from the auditor's wider responsibility under the Code of Audit Practice

### 6 Changes to the Scottish planning system

The Planning (Scotland) Bill was introduced in December 2017. It has been progressing through the parliamentary process and is currently at stage 3.

The draft Bill repealed the statutory requirement to prepare Strategic Development Plans. This would have resulted in the cessation of Clydeplan in its current form. However, numerous amendments and changes to the Bill have been proposed at each stage, which could impact on this provision of the Bill.

There is uncertainty in the outcome and timings, but this could impact on Clydeplan's ability to carry out day to day operations and meet its future objectives. Attendance at Joint Committee meetings and review of Joint Committee meeting papers.

Discussion with management to monitor and discuss developments.

Monitor progress of Planning (Scotland) Bill.

On 25 July 2019, the Planning Bill received Royal Assent and became the Planning (Scotland) Act. The Act repeals the provisions requiring the preparation of Strategic Development Plans which are being replaced by provisions for two or more planning authorities acting jointly to prepare Regional Spatial Strategies.

Management have indicated that Clydeplan will be involved in the preparation of a Regional Spatial Strategy going forward. In addition, management and members are also considering options for the future governance of Clydeplan as a result of the Planning (Scotland) Act. This will be discussed and agreed at future meetings of the Joint Committee.

**Conclusion**: The Planning (Scotland) Act resulted in changes to the operations of Clydeplan. However, Clydeplan will have a role in the preparation of Regional Spatial Strategies and management and members are considering options for the future governance of Clydeplan.

# **Appendix 3** Summary of national performance reports 2018/19



### Glagow and the Clyde Valley Strategic Development Planning Authority 2018/19 Annual Audit Report

If you require this publication in an alternative format and/or language, please contact us to discuss your needs: 0131 625 1500 or **info@audit-scotland.gov.uk** 

For the latest news, reports and updates, follow us on:





Audit Scotland, 4th Floor, 102 West Port, Edinburgh EH3 9DN T: 0131 625 1500 E: <u>info@audit-scotland.gov.uk</u> <u>www.audit-scotland.gov.uk</u>